

Supplementary Material

10.1302/2046-3758.122.BJR-2022-0116.R1

Supplementary Material 1. Search strategy summary, search terms used, and detailed search results.

Databases searched and date ranges detailed		
Database	Date range after addition of date filters (dd/mm/yyyy)	
Primary searches		
Ovid. Medline (Ovid	01/01/2009 – 20/04/2017	
MEDLINE Epub Ahead of		
Print, In-Process & Other		
Non-Indexed Citations, Ovid		
MEDLINE Daily and Ovid		
MEDLINE) 1946 to the 20 th of		
July 2017		
Ovid. Embase 1974 to the	01/01/2009 – 20/04/2017	
20 th of April 2017		
Ovid. PsychINFO 1806 to the	01/01/2009 – 21/04/2017	
21 st of April 2017		
Cumulative Index to Nursing	01/01/2009 - 21.04.2017	
and Allied Health Literature		
(CINAHL) Database. EBSCO		
1937 to the 21 st of April 2017		
Cochrane CENTRAL 1996 to	01/01/2009 – 12/05/2017	
the 11 th of May 2017		
Search updates		
Embase 1974 to present,	01/01/2017 – 12/07/2019	
Medline (Ovid MEDLINE Epub		
Ahead of Print, In-Process &		
Other Non-Indexed Citations,		
Ovid MEDLINE Daily and Ovid		
MEDLINE) 1946 to the 12th of		
July 2019		
Search st	rategy summary and terms used. Se	arch
	ty	be

1	Open Fracture search component. Combined with	
	Boolean terms: 'OR'	
	Fractures, Open/	MeSH
	((open or compound or severe* or mangle*) adj3	Title and
	(fracture* or break*)).ti,ab.	abstract
		search
2	Anatomical area search component. Combined with	
	Boolean terms: 'OR'	
	exp lower extremity/ or exp buttocks/ or exp foot/ or	MeSH
	exp hip/ or exp knee/ or exp leg/ or exp thigh/ or Leg	
	Bones/ or exp Foot Bones/ or exp Toes/ or Leg/	
	"lower extremit*".ti,ab or "lower limb*".ti,ab. or (leg	Title and
	or legs).ti,ab. or (foot or feet).ti,ab. or thigh*.ti,ab. or	abstract
	ankle*.ti,ab. or (hip or hips).ti,ab. or knee*.ti,ab. or	search
	femur*.ti,ab. or tibia*.ti,ab. or patella*.ti,ab. or	
	talus*.ti,ab. or fibula*.ti,ab. or calcaneus*.ti,ab. or	
	navicular*.ti,ab. or cuneiform*.ti,ab. or cuboid*.ti,ab.	
	or metatarsal*.ti,ab. or phalan*.ti,ab. or (toe or	
	toes).ti,ab. or pilon*.ti,ab.	
3	1 'AND' 2	
MeSH - Medical Subject Head	ling (MeSH)	
exp – exploded MeSH heading		
* – Truncation/wildcard symbol		
ti – Title search (search for key word in article titles)		
ab – Abstract search (search for keywork in article abstracts)		
adj3 – Proximity search term (two words next to each other, in any order, up to 2 words in		
between		

Primary searches

Medline (Ovid MEDLINE Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations, Ovid MEDLINE Daily and Ovid MEDLINE) 1946 to 20 July 2017

	Searches	Results
1	Fractures, Open/	5,041
2	((open or compound or severe* or mangle*) adj3 (fracture* or break*)).ti,ab.	10,342
3	1 or 2	12,576
4	exp lower extremity/ or exp buttocks/ or exp foot/ or exp hip/ or exp knee/ or exp leg/ or exp thigh/	153,269
5	"lower extremit*".ti,ab.	46,287
6	"lower limb*".ti,ab.	40,713
7	(leg or legs).ti,ab.	99,855
8	(foot or feet).ti,ab.	97,562
9	thigh*.ti,ab.	25,165

ankle*.ti,ab. (hip or hips).ti,ab.	49,851
(hin or hins) ti ah	
(11) 01 11(3).(1,40.	119,217
exp Leg Bones/	87,046
knee*.ti,ab.	121,914
femur*.ti,ab.	45,068
tibia*.ti,ab.	73,410
patella*.ti,ab.	17,803
talus*.ti,ab.	4,094
fibula*.ti,ab.	10,540
calcaneus*.ti,ab.	4,884
navicular*.ti,ab.	2,185
cuneiform*.ti,ab.	1,321
cuboid*.ti,ab.	4,749
metatarsal*.ti,ab.	7,996
exp Foot Bones/	16,512
phalan*.ti,ab.	9,815
	5,015
Leg/	62,724
Leg/	62,724
Leg/ (toe or toes).ti,ab.	62,724 17,450
Leg/ (toe or toes).ti,ab. exp Toes/	62,724 17,450 11,385
Leg/ (toe or toes).ti,ab. exp Toes/ pilon*.ti,ab. 4 or 5 or 6 or 7 or 8 or 9 or 10 or 11 or 12 or 13 or 14 or 15 or 16 or 18 or	62,724 17,450 11,385 2,304
Leg/ (toe or toes).ti,ab. exp Toes/ pilon*.ti,ab. 4 or 5 or 6 or 7 or 8 or 9 or 10 or 11 or 12 or 13 or 14 or 15 or 16 or 18 or 17 or 19 or 20 or 21 or 22 or 23 or 24 or 25 or 26 or 27 or 28 or 29	62,724 17,450 11,385 2,304 671,851
Leg/ (toe or toes).ti,ab. exp Toes/ pilon*.ti,ab. 4 or 5 or 6 or 7 or 8 or 9 or 10 or 11 or 12 or 13 or 14 or 15 or 16 or 18 or 17 or 19 or 20 or 21 or 22 or 23 or 24 or 25 or 26 or 27 or 28 or 29 3 and 30	62,724 17,450 11,385 2,304 671,851
Leg/ (toe or toes).ti,ab. exp Toes/ pilon*.ti,ab. 4 or 5 or 6 or 7 or 8 or 9 or 10 or 11 or 12 or 13 or 14 or 15 or 16 or 18 or 17 or 19 or 20 or 21 or 22 or 23 or 24 or 25 or 26 or 27 or 28 or 29 3 and 30 Embase 1974 to 20 April 2017	62,724 17,450 11,385 2,304 671,851 57,67
Leg/ (toe or toes).ti,ab. exp Toes/ pilon*.ti,ab. 4 or 5 or 6 or 7 or 8 or 9 or 10 or 11 or 12 or 13 or 14 or 15 or 16 or 18 or 17 or 19 or 20 or 21 or 22 or 23 or 24 or 25 or 26 or 27 or 28 or 29 3 and 30 Embase 1974 to 20 April 2017 Searches	62,724 17,450 11,385 2,304 671,851 57,67 Results
Leg/ (toe or toes).ti,ab. exp Toes/ pilon*.ti,ab. 4 or 5 or 6 or 7 or 8 or 9 or 10 or 11 or 12 or 13 or 14 or 15 or 16 or 18 or 17 or 19 or 20 or 21 or 22 or 23 or 24 or 25 or 26 or 27 or 28 or 29 3 and 30 Embase 1974 to 20 April 2017 Searches exp open fracture/	62,724 17,450 11,385 2,304 671,851 57,67 Results 5,640
Leg/ (toe or toes).ti,ab. exp Toes/ pilon*.ti,ab. 4 or 5 or 6 or 7 or 8 or 9 or 10 or 11 or 12 or 13 or 14 or 15 or 16 or 18 or 17 or 19 or 20 or 21 or 22 or 23 or 24 or 25 or 26 or 27 or 28 or 29 3 and 30 Embase 1974 to 20 April 2017 Searches exp open fracture/ *open fracture/ ((open or compound or severe* or mangle*) adj3 (fracture* or	62,724 17,450 11,385 2,304 671,851 57,67 Results 5,640 2,603
	tibia*.ti,ab. patella*.ti,ab. talus*.ti,ab. fibula*.ti,ab. calcaneus*.ti,ab. navicular*.ti,ab. cuneiform*.ti,ab. cuboid*.ti,ab. metatarsal*.ti,ab.

6	*lower limb/	843
7	exp lower extremity/ or exp buttocks/ or exp foot/ or exp hip/ or exp knee/ or exp leg/ or exp thigh/	357,372
8	exp leg/	295,179
9	exp foot/	56,040
10	exp knee/	91,003
11	exp hip/	73,237
12	exp femur/	87,374
13	exp tibia/	43,709
14	exp fibula/	8,840
15	exp ankle/	40,546
16	exp talus/	5,334
17	exp calcaneus/	8,506
18	exp navicular bone/	156
19	exp cuneiform bone/	71
20	exp cuboid bone/	46
21	exp metatarsal bone/	6,527
22	exp toe phalanx/	264
23	exp foot bone/	20,513
24	exp toe/	14,142
25	"lower extremit*".ti,ab.	59,556
26	"lower limb*".ti,ab.	56,681
27	(leg or legs).ti,ab.	127,677
28	(foot or feet).ti,ab.	123,942
29	exp thigh/	22,631
30	thigh*.ti,ab.	33,234
31	ankle*.ti,ab.	64,262
32	(hip or hips).ti,ab.	151,631
33	knee*.ti,ab.	151,705
34	femur*.ti,ab.	56,709
35	tibia*.ti,ab.	88,356
36	patella*.ti,ab.	20,358

37	exp patella/	10,542
38	talus*.ti,ab.	4,676
39	fibula*.ti,ab.	12,385
40	calcaneus*.ti,ab.	5,616
41	navicular*.ti,ab.	2,451
42	cuneiform*.ti,ab.	1,452
43	cuboid*.ti,ab.	5,378
44	metatarsal*.ti,ab.	9,363
45	phalan*.ti,ab.	11,785
46	(toe or toes).ti,ab.	22,731
47	5 or 6 or 7 or 8 or 9 or 10 or 11 or 12 or 13 or 14 or 15 or 16 or 17 or 18 or 19 or 20 or 21 or 22 or 23 or 24 or 25 or 26 or 27 or 28 or 29 or 30 or 31 or 32 or 33 or 34 or 35 or 36 or 37 or 38 or 39 or 40 or 41 or 42 or 43 or 44 or 45 or 46	822,119
48	4 and 47	6,123
	PsychINFO 1806 to 21 April 2017	
	Searches	Results
1	((open or compound or severe* or mangle*) adj3 (fracture* or	180
	break*)).ti,ab.	
2	break*)).ti,ab. open fracture*.ti,ab.	4
2 3		4 180
	open fracture*.ti,ab.	
3	open fracture*.ti,ab. 1 or 2	180
3 4	open fracture*.ti,ab. 1 or 2 "lower extremit*".ti,ab.	180 1,788
3 4 5	open fracture*.ti,ab. 1 or 2 "lower extremit*".ti,ab. "lower limb*".ti,ab.	180 1,788 2,401
3 4 5 6	open fracture*.ti,ab. 1 or 2 "lower extremit*".ti,ab. "lower limb*".ti,ab. (leg or legs).ti,ab.	180 1,788 2,401 9,136
3 4 5 6 7	open fracture*.ti,ab. 1 or 2 "lower extremit*".ti,ab. "lower limb*".ti,ab. (leg or legs).ti,ab. (foot or feet).ti,ab.	180 1,788 2,401 9,136 9,141
3 4 5 6 7 8	open fracture*.ti,ab. 1 or 2 "lower extremit*".ti,ab. "lower limb*".ti,ab. (leg or legs).ti,ab. (foot or feet).ti,ab. thigh*.ti,ab.	180 1,788 2,401 9,136 9,141 794
3 4 5 7 8 9	open fracture*.ti,ab. 1 or 2 "lower extremit*".ti,ab. "lower limb*".ti,ab. (leg or legs).ti,ab. (foot or feet).ti,ab. thigh*.ti,ab. ankle*.ti,ab.	180 1,788 2,401 9,136 9,141 794 2,117
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	open fracture*.ti,ab. 1 or 2 "lower extremit*".ti,ab. "lower limb*".ti,ab. (leg or legs).ti,ab. (foot or feet).ti,ab. thigh*.ti,ab. ankle*.ti,ab. (hip or hips).ti,ab.	180 1,788 2,401 9,136 9,141 794 2,117 5,023
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	open fracture*.ti,ab. 1 or 2 "lower extremit*".ti,ab. "lower limb*".ti,ab. (leg or legs).ti,ab. (foot or feet).ti,ab. thigh*.ti,ab. ankle*.ti,ab. (hip or hips).ti,ab. knee*.ti,ab.	180 1,788 2,401 9,136 9,141 794 2,117 5,023 3,769
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	open fracture*.ti,ab. 1 or 2 "lower extremit*".ti,ab. "lower limb*".ti,ab. (leg or legs).ti,ab. (foot or feet).ti,ab. thigh*.ti,ab. ankle*.ti,ab. (hip or hips).ti,ab. knee*.ti,ab. femur*.ti,ab.	180 1,788 2,401 9,136 9,141 794 2,117 5,023 3,769 325
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	open fracture*.ti,ab. 1 or 2 "lower extremit*".ti,ab. "lower limb*".ti,ab. (leg or legs).ti,ab. (foot or feet).ti,ab. thigh*.ti,ab. ankle*.ti,ab. (hip or hips).ti,ab. knee*.ti,ab. femur*.ti,ab.	180 1,788 2,401 9,136 9,141 794 2,117 5,023 3,769 325 1,703

16	fibula*.ti,ab.	84
17	calcaneus*.ti,ab.	35
18	navicular*.ti,ab.	8
19	cuneiform*.ti,ab.	107
20	cuboid*.ti,ab.	26
21	metatarsal*.ti,ab.	46
22	phalan*.ti,ab.	215
23	(toe or toes).ti,ab.	1,226
24	exp thigh/	44
25	exp foot/	1,456
26	exp knee/	954
27	exp ankle/	412
28	exp toe/	641
29	4 or 5 or 6 or 7 or 8 or 9 or 10 or 11 or 12 or 13 or 14 or 15 or 16 or 17 or 18 or 19 or 20 or 21 or 22 or 23 or 24 or 25 or 26 or 27 or 28	32,034
30	3 and 29	19
	Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL) Datab EBSCO 1937 to 21 April 2017	base.
	Searches	Results
S1	(MM "Fractures, Open")	
S2	TI open fracture*	507
S3	TI compound fracture*	26
S4	AB open fracture*	954
S5	AB compound fracture*	40
S6	S1 OR S2 OR S3 OR S4 OR S5	1,399
S7	(MH "Lower Extremity+")	
S8	TI lower limb	
S9	AB lower limb	
S10	TI lower extremity	
S11	AB lower extremity	
S12	(MH "Hip")	
S12 S13	(MH "Hip") TI hip	

S14	AB hip
S15	(MM "Fractures, Open")
S16	TI open fracture*
S17	TI compound fracture*
S18	AB open fracture*
S19	AB compound fracture*
S20	S15 OR S16 OR S17 OR S18 OR S19
S21	(MH "Lower Extremity+")
S22	TI lower limb
S23	AB lower limb
S24	TI lower extremity
S25	AB lower extremity
S26	(MH "Hip")
S27	TI hip
S28	AB hip
S29	(MH "Femur+")
S30	TI femur
S31	AB femur
S32	(MH "Knee")
S33	TI knee
S34	AB knee
S35	(MH "Patella")
S36	TI patella
S37	AB patella
S38	"tibial plateau"
S39	TI tibial plateau
S40	AB tibial plateau
S41	(MH "Tibia")
S42	TI tibia
S43	AB tibia
S44	(MH "Fibula")
S45	TI fibula

S46	AB fibula	
S47	(MH "Ankle")	
S48	TI ankle	
S49	AB ankle	
S50	(MH "Talus")	
S51	TI talus	
S52	AB talus	
S53	(MH "Calcaneus")	
S54	TI calcaneus	
S55	AB calcaneus	
S56	(MH "Tarsal Bones") OR "navicular"	
S57	TI navicular	
S58	AB navicular	
S59	"cuboid"	
S60	TI cuboid	
S61	AB cuboid	
S62	"cuneiform"	
S63	TI cuneiform	
S64	AB cuneiform	
S65	(MH "Metatarsal Bones")	
S66	TI metatarsal	
S67	AB metatarsal	1,534
S68	"phalanx"	
S69	TI phalanx	
S70	AB phalanx	
S71	(MH "Foot") OR (MH "Foot Bones")	
S72	S7 OR S8 OR S9 OR S10 OR S11 OR S12 OR S13 OR S14 OR S15 OR S16 OR S17 OR S18 OR S19 OR S20 OR S21 OR S22 OR S23 OR S24 OR S25 OR S26 OR S27 OR S28 OR S29 OR S30 OR S31 OR S32 OR S33 OR S34 OR S35 OR S36 OR S37 OR S38 OR S39 OR S40 OR S41 OR S42 OR S43 OR S44 OR S45 OR S46 OR S47 OR S48 OR S49 OR S50 OR S51 OR S52 OR S53 OR S54 OR S55 OR S56 OR S57 OR S58 OR S59 OR S60 OR S61 OR S62 OR S63 OR S64 OR S65 OR S66 OR S67 OR S68 OR S69 OR S70 OR S71	130,012
S73	S6 AND S72	1,399

Cochrane CENTRAL 1996 to 11 May 2017

	Searches	Results
#1	open fracture*:ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)	1,485
#2	MeSH descriptor: [Fractures, Open] explode all trees	101
#3	#1 or #2	1,485
#4	lower limb:ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)	6,146
#6	MeSH descriptor: [Thigh] explode all trees	413
#7	MeSH descriptor: [Hip] explode all trees	369
#8	MeSH descriptor: [Femur] explode all trees	1,170
#9	MeSH descriptor: [Knee] explode all trees	690
#10	MeSH descriptor: [Tibia] explode all trees	512
#11	MeSH descriptor: [Leg] explode all trees	2,865
#12	MeSH descriptor: [Ankle] explode all trees	443
#13	MeSH descriptor: [Foot] explode all trees	1,450
#14	MeSH descriptor: [Leg Bones] explode all trees	1,845
#15	MeSH descriptor: [Foot Bones] explode all trees	266
#16	hip:ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)	13,792
#17	femur:ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)	3,889
#18	knee:ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)	16,216
#19	fibula:ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)	185
#20	MeSH descriptor: [Fibula] explode all trees	72
#21	MeSH descriptor: [Patella] explode all trees	296
#22	patella:ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)	742
#23	tibia:ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)	1,503
#24	ankle:ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)	5,560
#25	MeSH descriptor: [Foot Bones] explode all trees	266
#26	"talus":ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)	73
#27	MeSH descriptor: [Talus] explode all trees	33
#28	MeSH descriptor: [Calcaneus] explode all trees	150
#29	Calcaneus:ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)	309
#30	navicular:ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)	36

#31	cuboid:ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)	10
#32	cuneiform:ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)	8
#33	MeSH descriptor: [Metatarsal Bones] explode all trees	62
#34	metatarsal:ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)	260
#35	MeSH descriptor: [Tarsal Bones] explode all trees	196
#36	phalan*:ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)	174
#37	toe or toes:ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)	813
#38	#4 or #5 or #6 or #7 or #8 or #9 or #10 or #11 or #12 or #13 or #14 or #15 or #16 or #17 or #18 or #19 or #20 or #21 or #22 or #23 or #23 or #24 or	42,552

#25 or #26 or #27 or #28 or #29 or #30 or #31 or #32 or #33 or #34 or #35

Search update

or #36 or #37

Medline (Ovid MEDLINE Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations, Ovid MEDLINE Daily and Ovid MEDLINE) 1946 to 12 July 2019

	Searches	Results
1	Fractures, Open/	8,995
2	((open or compound or severe* or mangle*) adj3 (fracture* or break*)).ti,ab.	24,342
3	1 or 2	27,936
4	exp lower extremity/ or exp buttocks/ or exp foot/ or exp hip/ or exp knee/ or exp leg/ or exp thigh/	524,638
6	"lower limb*".ti,ab.	113,075
7	(leg or legs).ti,ab.	253,197
8	(foot or feet).ti,ab.	247,500
9	thigh*.ti,ab.	66,484
10	ankle*.ti,ab.	130,736
11	(hip or hips).ti,ab.	307,815
12	exp Leg Bones/	192,500
13	knee*.ti,ab.	316,636
14	femur*.ti,ab.	113,307
15	tibia*.ti,ab.	181,369
16	patella*.ti,ab.	42,426
17	talus*.ti,ab.	9,619
18	fibula*.ti,ab.	25,838

19	calcaneus*.ti,ab.	11,324
20	navicular*.ti,ab.	4,897
21	cuneiform*.ti,ab.	2,977
22	cuboid*.ti,ab.	11,131
23	metatarsal*.ti,ab.	18,957
24	exp Foot Bones/	36,140
25	phalan*.ti,ab.	23,221
26	Leg/	133,989
27	(toe or toes).ti,ab.	44,724
28	exp Toes/	25,104
29	pilon*.ti,ab.	5 <i>,</i> 338
30	4 or 5 or 6 or 7 or 8 or 9 or 10 or 11 or 12 or 13 or 14 or 15 or 16 or 18 or 17 or 19 or 20 or 21 or 22 or 23 or 24 or 25 or 26 or 27 or 28 or 29	169,6412
31	3 and 30	13,022

Embase 1974 to the 12 July 2019

	Searches	Results
1	Fractures, Open/	8,995
2	((open or compound or severe* or mangle*) adj3 (fracture* or break*)).ti,ab.	24,342
3	1 or 2	27,936
4	exp lower extremity/ or exp buttocks/ or exp foot/ or exp hip/ or exp knee/ or exp leg/ or exp thigh/	524,638
6	"lower limb*".ti,ab.	113,075
7	(leg or legs).ti,ab.	253,197
8	(foot or feet).ti,ab.	247,500
9	thigh*.ti,ab.	66,484
10	ankle*.ti,ab.	130,736
11	(hip or hips).ti,ab.	307,815
12	exp Leg Bones/	192,500
13	knee*.ti,ab.	316,636
14	femur*.ti,ab.	113,307
15	tibia*.ti,ab.	181,369
16	patella*.ti,ab.	42,426

17	talus*.ti,ab.	9,619
18	fibula*.ti,ab.	25,838
19	calcaneus*.ti,ab.	11,324
20	navicular*.ti,ab.	4,897
21	cuneiform*.ti,ab.	2,977
22	cuboid*.ti,ab.	11,131
23	metatarsal*.ti,ab.	18,957
24	exp Foot Bones/	36,140
25	phalan*.ti,ab.	23,221
26	Leg/	133,989
27	(toe or toes).ti,ab.	44,724
28	exp Toes/	25,104
29	pilon*.ti,ab.	5,338
30	4 or 5 or 6 or 7 or 8 or 9 or 10 or 11 or 12 or 13 or 14 or 15 or 16 or 18 or 17 or 19 or 20 or 21 or 22 or 23 or 24 or 25 or 26 or 27 or 28 or 29	1,696,412
31	3 and 30	13,022
32	limit 31 to last 2 years	1,701

Supplementary Material 2. List of verbatim outcomes, and frequency of reporting. Grouped by standardized outcome headings, outcome domains, and core areas using the Core Outcomes in Effectiveness Trials Initiative (COMET) Taxonomy of Outcomes.

Core Area	Outcome domain (domain	Standardised outcome	Number of times reported	Primary outcome	Secondary outcome	Number of unique outcome	Verbatim outcomes	Number of times reported
th	(1)	/al	21	1	20	8	Death	2
Death	Survival (1)	Survival					Early mortality	1
	ırvi	SI					Late mortality	1
	SI						Mortality Martality rate	11
							Mortality rate	2
							Risk of one-year mortality Standard mortality ratios	1
							Survival	2
-		s	10	0	10		Deep vein thrombosis	3
lica	nati	nou	10	Ŭ		Ŭ	DVT	1
Physiological or clinical	lymphatic	Venous					Embolism	1
lor							PE	1
gica	and						Presence of arterial thrombosis	1
olo	Blood						Pulmonary embolism	1
iysi	Blc						Rate of DVT	1
P							Venous thromboembolism	1
	General outcomes	Systemic sepsis or	3	0	3	2	Bacteraemia The risk for sepsis	2
	()	С	5	2	3	5	A major complication	1
	(15	aedi					Achilles tendon contracture	1
	nes	opa					Adverse events	1
	cor	orth					Bleeding haematoma	1
	and connective tissue outcomes (15)	Adverse events of orthopaedic					Orthopaedic complications	1
	al ai	77	71	1	70	35	Chronic bone complications	1
	slet	anc	, 1		/0	55	Complication	3
	JSKE	suc					Complication rate	3
	culc	atic					Complication rates	3
	Musculoskeletal	Complications and					Complication subtype (i.e., cardiac, respiratory, gastrointestinal, urinary, haemorrhage, and infection	1
		C					Complications	29
1				1			Complications and re-operation	1

Core Area	Outcome domain (domain	Standardised outcome	Number of times reported	Primary outcome	Secondary outcome	Number of unique outcome	Verbatim outcomes	Number of times reported
							Complications from external fixator application	1
							Complications-including infection, nonunion, and any cases that	1
							progressed to amputation.	
							Early post-operative complications	1
							Early postoperative complications	1
							Incidence of complication	1
							Major and minor complications	1
							Major complication	1
							Major complications	1
							Minor complications	2
							Occurrence of any complication	1
							Other complications	1
							Other postoperative complications	1
							Overall complication rate	1
							Operatively treated complications related to the study injury	1
							Perioperative complications	2
							Pin site hypergranulation	1
							Post operative wound complications	1
							Postoperative complication	1
							Postoperative complications	4
							Potential complications	1
							Rate of complications	1
							Secondary fracture	1
							Suture failure	1
							Total complications Wound complications	1
			12	0	17	0	Fixation failure	1
		ant	12	0	12	0	Hardware failure	4
		Hardware or implant					Hardware failure rate	4
							Implant failure requiring reoperation	1
							Implant failure	1
		łwa					Implant breakage/loosening	1
		lard					Implant failure	2
		-					Metal failure	1
		e	3	1	2	2	Pin loosening	2
		Pin site					Pin site loosening	1

							Verbatim outcomes	
	in		p			Number of unique outcome		p
	ma	a	brte			t C		lite
	qo	Ĩ,	epo		e	no		epo
	in (ltco	S L	e	E O	ne		S L
	ma	lo l	me	Du	rto	niq		me
	qo	sec	lf ti	utc	ō	f u		f ti
rea	ne	rdi	er o	0	Jar	l S		er o
e A	COL	pu	nbe	nar	ouo	hbe		nbe
Core Area	Outcome domain (domain	Standardised outcome	Number of times reported	Primary outcome	Secondary outcome	<u>V</u> ur		Number of times reported
•	•		3		3	3	External fixator time	1
		Time in	5	U	5	5		1
		Ĕ					Mean duration of fixator application	
		-					Time of frame wearing	1
		L	77	8	69	23	Amputation or salvage	1
		itio					Amputation	49
		uta					Amputation or salvage	1
		Amputation					Amputation rate	5
		A					Below knee amputation	1
							Below-knee amputation	1
							Consideration of lower leg amputation	1
							Delayed secondary amputation	1
							Early amputation	1
							Early amputation or salvage	1
							Knee range of motion	1
							Limb salvage	1
							Need for amputation	1
							Primary amputation	1
							Progression to amputation	1
							Rate of amputation	1
							Rate of limb salvage	1
							Rate of primary amputation	1
							Rate of secondary amputation	2
							Secondary amputation rates	1
					2		Secondary amputation	3
			2				Secondary amputation rate	1
		Bone	2	0	2	2	Bone results	1
		BC					Limb shortening	1
		F .	265	15	250	76	Aseptic nonunion	1
		ling	205	13	250	10	Average time to bone union	1
		lea						
		Bone union or healing					Bone consolidation Rope healing	1
		uc					Bone healing	/
		uni					Bone healing index days/cm	1
		he					Bone healing status	1
		Boi					Bone healing time	4
							Bone hypertrophy	1
							Bone union	79

							Verbatim outcomes	
	.Ц		b			Number of unique outcome		be
	Outcome domain (domain	е	Number of times reported			tco		Number of times reported
	op)	шo	epo		e	on		epo
	in	utc	SS L	e	шo	lue		es r
	ma	d of	Ĕ	Son	utc	nio		ime
-	ор	sec	of ti	utc	уo	of u		of ti
rea	ne	Irdi	er o	γo	dar	er o		er o
e A	CO	pu	nbe	nar	onc	nbe		nbe
Core Area	Out	Standardised outcome	Nur	Primary outcome	Secondary outcome	Nur		Nur
<u> </u>	0	•,		-	5,	-		
							Bone union rates	2
							Bony union and malunion	1
							Bony complications	1
							Bony union	5
							Clinical bone union	1
							Clinical healing	1
							Clinical union	2
							Complete fracture healing	1
							Consolidation of fracture	1
							Days to radiological consolidation	1
							Defective healing	1
							Delayed union	15
							Early bone complications	1
							Early union rate	1
							Fracture healing duration	1
							Fracture consolidation	1
							Fracture healing	13
							Fracture healing problems	1
							Fracture healing rate	1
							Fracture healing time	3
							Fracture union	10
							Fracture union (clinical)	1
							Fracture union (radiological)	1
							Healing	2
							Healing after primary procedure	2
							Healing assessment	1
								1
							Healing index	_
							Healing time	3
							Healing time for bone	1
							Heterotopic ossification	1
							Heterotrophic ossification	1
							Mean fracture healing time	1
							Mean time to healing	1
							Mean time to union	1
							Non union	1
							Presence of union	1
							Presence of union at 6 and 12 months	1
							Primary union rate	1
							Presence of callus	1

Core Area	Outcome domain (domain	Standardised outcome	Number of times reported	Primary outcome	Secondary outcome	Number of unique outcome	Verbatim outcomes	Number of times reported
	0	S	2	"	S	2	Dadiagraphic healing	
							Radiographic healing Radiographic fracture union	1
							Radiographic union	4
							Radiological bone union	2
							Radiological outcome	1
							Radiological union	2
							Rate of bone union	1
							Rate of delayed union	1
							Rate/time to union	1
							Requirement for secondary bone grafting	1
							Time taken to radiological union	1
							Time to bone union	15
							Time to bony union	3
							Time to clinical union	1
							Time to complete bone union	1
							Time to fracture union	2
							Time to osseous union	1
							Time to radiographic fracture healing	1
							Time to radiographic fracture union	1
							Time to radiographic union	3
							Time to radiological fracture healing	1
							Time to union	22
							Timing of union and callus formation	1
							To union	1
							Union	10
							Union of fracture	1
							Union rate	3
							Union rates	1
		ent	77	0	77	47	Ability to maintain alignment	1
		alignment					Alignment	7
		ligr					Anatomical reduction of the calcaneus	1
		or a					Angular deformity	1
		u c					Angular deformity >10 degrees	1
		Malunion					Angular deformity of fracture	1
		Val					Angular malalignment	1
		~					Angulation of fixation	1
							Angulation deformity	1
							Angulation of fracture	1
I				l		l	Anterior distal tibial angle	T

Articular reduction at the ankle 1 Axial alignment 1 Axial deformity on radiographic assessment 1 Axis 1 Bone alignment 1 Bone malalignment 1 Deformity 1 Deformity at the docking site 1 Final Alignment of the Fracture 1 Fracture alignment 1 Fracture unition quality 1 Hind foot angle 1 Limb alignment 1 Lower limb axis alignment 1 Malalignment 1 Malalignment (or malunion) 1 Malunion or malalignment 1 Malunion rate 1 Malunion 1 Posterior proximal tibial angle 1 Posterior proximal tibial angle	
Articular reduction at the ankle 1 Axial alignment 1 Axial deformity on radiographic assessment 1 Axis 1 Bone alignment 1 Bone malalignment 1 Deformity 1 Deformity at the docking site 1 Final Alignment of the Fracture 1 Fracture alignment 1 Fracture unition quality 1 Hind foot angle 1 Limb alignment 1 Lower limb axis alignment 1 Malalignment 1 Malalignment (or malunion) 1 Malalignment (or malunion) 1 Malunion or malalignment 1 Malunion rate 1 Malunion 1 Posterior proximal tibial angle 1 Presence of bone defect 1 Problems with union 1 Quality of fracture reduction 1	ed
Articular reduction at the ankle 1 Axial alignment 1 Axial deformity on radiographic assessment 1 Axis 1 Bone alignment 1 Bone malalignment 1 Deformity 1 Deformity at the docking site 1 Final Alignment of the Fracture 1 Fracture alignment 1 Fracture unition quality 1 Hind foot angle 1 Limb alignment 1 Lower limb axis alignment 1 Malalignment 1 Malalignment (or malunion) 1 Malalignment (or malunion) 1 Malunion or malalignment 1 Malunion rate 1 Malunion 1 Posterior proximal tibial angle 1 Presence of bone defect 1 Problems with union 1 Quality of fracture reduction 1	ort
Articular reduction at the ankle 1 Axial alignment 1 Axial deformity on radiographic assessment 1 Axis 1 Bone alignment 1 Bone malalignment 1 Deformity 1 Deformity at the docking site 1 Final Alignment of the Fracture 1 Fracture alignment 1 Fracture unition quality 1 Hind foot angle 1 Limb alignment 1 Lower limb axis alignment 1 Malalignment 1 Malalignment (or malunion) 1 Malalignment (or malunion) 1 Malunion or malalignment 1 Malunion rate 1 Malunion 1 Posterior proximal tibial angle 1 Presence of bone defect 1 Problems with union 1 Quality of fracture reduction 1	ep.
Articular reduction at the ankle 1 Axial alignment 1 Axial deformity on radiographic assessment 1 Axis 1 Bone alignment 1 Bone malalignment 1 Deformity 1 Deformity at the docking site 1 Final Alignment of the Fracture 1 Fracture alignment 1 Fracture unition quality 1 Hind foot angle 1 Limb alignment 1 Lower limb axis alignment 1 Malalignment (or malunion) 1 Malalignment (or malunion) 1 Malunion or malalignment 1 Malunion rate 1 Malunion 1 Posterior proximal tibial angle 1 Presence of bone defect 1 Problems with union 1 Quality of fracture reduction 1 Quality of reduction 1	es r
Articular reduction at the ankle 1 Axial alignment 1 Axial deformity on radiographic assessment 1 Axis 1 Bone alignment 1 Bone malalignment 1 Deformity 1 Deformity at the docking site 1 Final Alignment of the Fracture 1 Fracture alignment 1 Fracture unition quality 1 Hind foot angle 1 Limb alignment 1 Lower limb axis alignment 1 Malalignment 1 Malalignment (or malunion) 1 Malalignment (or malunion) 1 Malunion or malalignment 1 Malunion rate 1 Malunion 1 Posterior proximal tibial angle 1 Presence of bone defect 1 Problems with union 1 Quality of fracture reduction 1	tim
Articular reduction at the ankle 1 Axial alignment 1 Axial deformity on radiographic assessment 1 Axis 1 Bone alignment 1 Bone malalignment 1 Deformity 1 Deformity at the docking site 1 Final Alignment of the Fracture 1 Fracture alignment 1 Fracture unition quality 1 Hind foot angle 1 Limb alignment 1 Lower limb axis alignment 1 Malalignment 1 Malalignment (or malunion) 1 Malalignment (or malunion) 1 Malunion or malalignment 1 Malunion rate 1 Malunion 1 Posterior proximal tibial angle 1 Presence of bone defect 1 Problems with union 1 Quality of fracture reduction 1	of 1
Articular reduction at the ankle 1 Axial alignment 1 Axial deformity on radiographic assessment 1 Axis 1 Bone alignment 1 Bone malalignment 1 Deformity 1 Deformity at the docking site 1 Final Alignment of the Fracture 1 Fracture alignment 1 Fracture unition quality 1 Hind foot angle 1 Limb alignment 1 Lower limb axis alignment 1 Malalignment 1 Malalignment (or malunion) 1 Malalignment (or malunion) 1 Malunion or malalignment 1 Malunion rate 1 Malunion 1 Posterior proximal tibial angle 1 Presence of bone defect 1 Problems with union 1 Quality of fracture reduction 1	Number of times reported
Articular reduction at the ankle 1 Axial alignment 1 Axial deformity on radiographic assessment 1 Axis 1 Bone alignment 1 Bone malalignment 1 Deformity 1 Deformity at the docking site 1 Final Alignment of the Fracture 1 Fracture alignment 1 Fracture unition quality 1 Hind foot angle 1 Limb alignment 1 Lower limb axis alignment 1 Malalignment 1 Malalignment (or malunion) 1 Malalignment (or malunion) 1 Malunion or malalignment 1 Malunion rate 1 Malunion 1 Posterior proximal tibial angle 1 Presence of bone defect 1 Problems with union 1 Quality of fracture reduction 1	jul 1
Axial alignmentAxial deformity on radiographic assessmentAxisBone alignmentBone malalignmentBone malalignmentBony union and malunionDeformityDeformity at the docking siteFinal Alignment of the FractureFracture alignmentFracture unition qualityHind foot angleLimb alignmentLower limb axis alignmentMalalignment (or malunion)MalalignmentMalunion or malalignmentMalunion rateMalunion frateMalunion siteMalunionPost operative femoral axisPosterior proximal tibial anglePresence of bone defectProblems with unionQuality of reduction	ž
Axial deformity on radiographic assessmentAxisBone alignmentBone malalignmentBony union and malunionDeformityDeformity at the docking siteFinal Alignment of the FractureFracture alignmentFracture alignmentFracture unition qualityHind foot angleLimb alignmentMal-unionMalalignmentMalalignmentMalalignmentMalunion rateMalunion rateMalunionMalunio	1
Axial deformity on radiographic assessmentAxisBone alignmentBone malalignmentBony union and malunionDeformityDeformity at the docking siteFinal Alignment of the FractureFracture alignmentFracture alignmentFracture unition qualityHind foot angleLimb alignmentMal-unionMalalignmentMalalignmentMalalignmentMalunion rateMalunion rateMalunionMalunio	1
Bone alignment 1 Bone malalignment 1 Bony union and malunion 1 Deformity 1 Deformity at the docking site 1 Final Alignment of the Fracture 1 Fracture alignment 1 Fracture unition quality 1 Hind foot angle 1 Limb alignment 1 Lower limb axis alignment 1 Mal-union 1 Malalignment 1 Malalignment 1 Malalignment 1 Malunion r malalignment 1 Malunion rate 1 Malunion 1 Malunion 1 Post operative femoral axis 1 Posterior proximal tibial angle 1 Problems with union 1 Quality of fracture reduction 1	1
Bone malalignment 1 Bony union and malunion 1 Deformity 1 Deformity at the docking site 1 Final Alignment of the Fracture 1 Fracture alignment 1 Fracture unition quality 1 Hind foot angle 1 Limb alignment 1 Lower limb axis alignment 1 Mal-union 1 Malalignment 1 Malalignment 1 Malalignment 1 Malalignment (or malunion) 1 Malunion or malalignment 1 Malunion rate 1 Malunion 2 Malunion 2 Post operative femoral axis 1 Posterior proximal tibial angle 1 Presence of bone defect 1 Problems with union 1 Quality of fracture reduction 1 Quality of reduction 1	1
Bone malalignment 1 Bony union and malunion 1 Deformity 1 Deformity at the docking site 1 Final Alignment of the Fracture 1 Fracture alignment 1 Fracture unition quality 1 Hind foot angle 1 Limb alignment 1 Lower limb axis alignment 1 Mal-union 1 Malalignment 1 Malalignment 1 Malalignment 1 Malalignment (or malunion) 1 Malunion or malalignment 1 Malunion rate 1 Malunion 2 Malunion 2 Post operative femoral axis 1 Posterior proximal tibial angle 1 Presence of bone defect 1 Problems with union 1 Quality of fracture reduction 1 Quality of reduction 1	1
Bony union and malunion 1 Deformity 1 Deformity at the docking site 1 Final Alignment of the Fracture 1 Fracture alignment 1 Fracture unition quality 1 Hind foot angle 1 Limb alignment 1 Lower limb axis alignment 1 Mal-union 1 Malalignment 1 Malalignment 1 Malalignment 1 Malalignment 1 Malalignment 1 Malunion or malalignment 1 Malunion rate 1 Malunion 2 Medial proximal tibial angle 1 Post operative femoral axis 1 Posterior proximal tibial angle 1 Presence of bone defect 1 Problems with union 1 Quality of fracture reduction 1 Quality of reduction 1	1
Deformity 1 Deformity at the docking site 1 Final Alignment of the Fracture 1 Fracture alignment 1 Fracture unition quality 1 Hind foot angle 1 Limb alignment 1 Lower limb axis alignment 1 Mal-union 1 Malalignment 1 Malalignment 1 Malalignment 1 Malunion 1 Malunion or malalignment 1 Malunion rate 1 Malunion 2 Post operative femoral axis 1 Posterior proximal tibial angle 1 Presence of bone defect 1 Problems with union 1 Quality of fracture reduction 1 Quality of reduction 1	1
Deformity at the docking site Final Alignment of the Fracture Fracture alignment Fracture unition quality Hind foot angle Limb alignment Lower limb axis alignment Mal-union Malalignment (or malunion) Malrotation Malunion or malalignment Malunion rate Malunion rate Malunion Medial proximal tibial angle Post operative femoral axis Posterior proximal tibial angle Presence of bone defect Problems with union Quality of fracture reduction Quality of reduction	1
Final Alignment of the Fracture 1 Fracture alignment 1 Fracture unition quality 1 Hind foot angle 1 Limb alignment 1 Lower limb axis alignment 1 Mal-union 1 Malalignment 1 Malalignment 1 Malalignment 1 Malalignment 1 Malorotation 1 Malunion or malalignment 1 Malunion rate 1 Malunion 2 Medial proximal tibial angle 1 Post operative femoral axis 1 Posterior proximal tibial angle 1 Problems with union 1 Quality of fracture reduction 1 Quality of reduction 1	1
Fracture alignment 1 Fracture unition quality 1 Hind foot angle 1 Limb alignment 1 Lower limb axis alignment 1 Mal-union 1 Malalignment 1 Malalignment 1 Malalignment 1 Malalignment 1 Malalignment 1 Malunion or malalignment 1 Malunion rate 1 Malunion 2 Post operative femoral axis 1 Posterior proximal tibial angle 1 Presence of bone defect 1 Problems with union 1 Quality of fracture reduction 1 Quality of reduction 1	1
Hind foot angle 1 Limb alignment 1 Lower limb axis alignment 1 Mal-union 1 Malalignment 1 Malalignment (or malunion) 1 Malrotation 1 Malunion or malalignment 1 Malunion rate 1 Malunion 1 Medial proximal tibial angle 1 Post operative femoral axis 1 Posterior proximal tibial angle 1 Presence of bone defect 1 Problems with union 1 Quality of fracture reduction 1 Quality of reduction 1	1
Limb alignment1Lower limb axis alignment1Mal-union1Malalignment1Malalignment (or malunion)1Malrotation1Malunion or malalignment1Malunion rate1Malunion rate1Malunion2Medial proximal tibial angle1Post operative femoral axis1Posterior proximal tibial angle1Presence of bone defect1Problems with union1Quality of fracture reduction1Quality of reduction1	1
Lower limb axis alignment 1 Mal-union 1 Malalignment 1 Malalignment (or malunion) 1 Malrotation 1 Malunion or malalignment 1 Malunion rate 1 Malunion 1 Medial proximal tibial angle 1 Post operative femoral axis 1 Posterior proximal tibial angle 1 Presence of bone defect 1 Problems with union 1 Quality of fracture reduction 1 Quality of reduction 1	1
Mal-union 1 Malalignment 1 Malalignment (or malunion) 1 Malrotation 1 Malunion or malalignment 1 Malunion rate 1 Malunion 1 Malunion 1 Malunion 1 Medial proximal tibial angle 1 Post operative femoral axis 1 Posterior proximal tibial angle 1 Presence of bone defect 1 Problems with union 1 Quality of fracture reduction 1 Quality of reduction 1	1
Malalignment 1 Malalignment (or malunion) 1 Malrotation 1 Malunion or malalignment 1 Malunion rate 1 Malunion 1 Malunion 1 Malunion 1 Medial proximal tibial angle 1 Post operative femoral axis 1 Posterior proximal tibial angle 1 Presence of bone defect 1 Problems with union 1 Quality of fracture reduction 1 Quality of reduction 1	1
Malalignment (or malunion) 1 Malrotation 1 Malunion or malalignment 1 Malunion rate 1 Malunion 1 Malunion 1 Malunion 1 Malunion 1 Medial proximal tibial angle 1 Post operative femoral axis 1 Posterior proximal tibial angle 1 Presence of bone defect 1 Problems with union 1 Quality of fracture reduction 1 Quality of reduction 1	1
Malrotation 1 Malunion or malalignment 1 Malunion rate 1 Malunion 2 Malunion 2 Medial proximal tibial angle 1 Post operative femoral axis 1 Posterior proximal tibial angle 1 Presence of bone defect 1 Problems with union 1 Quality of fracture reduction 1 Quality of reduction 1	1
Malunion or malalignment 1 Malunion rate 1 Malunion 2 Medial proximal tibial angle 1 Post operative femoral axis 1 Posterior proximal tibial angle 1 Presence of bone defect 1 Problems with union 1 Quality of fracture reduction 1 Quality of reduction 1	1
Malunion rate 1 Malunion 2 Medial proximal tibial angle 1 Post operative femoral axis 1 Posterior proximal tibial angle 1 Presence of bone defect 1 Problems with union 1 Quality of fracture reduction 1 Quality of reduction 1	1
Malunion 2 Medial proximal tibial angle 1 Post operative femoral axis 1 Posterior proximal tibial angle 1 Presence of bone defect 1 Problems with union 1 Quality of fracture reduction 1 Quality of reduction 1	1
Medial proximal tibial angle 1 Post operative femoral axis 1 Posterior proximal tibial angle 1 Presence of bone defect 1 Problems with union 1 Quality of fracture reduction 1 Quality of reduction 1	1
Post operative femoral axis 1 Posterior proximal tibial angle 1 Presence of bone defect 1 Problems with union 1 Quality of fracture reduction 1 Quality of reduction 1	24
Posterior proximal tibial angle 1 Presence of bone defect 1 Problems with union 1 Quality of fracture reduction 1 Quality of reduction 1	1
Presence of bone defect 1 Problems with union 1 Quality of fracture reduction 1 Quality of reduction 1	1
Problems with union 1 Quality of fracture reduction 1 Quality of reduction 1	1
Quality of fracture reduction 1 Quality of reduction 1	1
Quality of reduction 1	1
	1
Radiographic examination for degenerative joint changes or	1
	1
malignment	
Rate of mal-union	1
Rate of malrotation 1	1
Rate of malunion	1
Reduction radiographically 1	1
	2
53 2 51 9 Delayed or nonunion 1 Fracture non-union 1	1
	1
	1

						رە رە	Verbatim outcomes		
	ai		ed			Number of unique outcome		ed	
	Outcome domain (domain	э	ort			utc		Number of times reported	
	p)	con	Number of times reported		лe	lo a		rep	
	ain	outcome	es	me	con	b		es	
	Ш	p d	tim	tc O	out	uni		tim	
g	e d	Standardised	of	Primary outcome	Secondary outcome	of		of	
Core Area	E O	daro	ber	∑	nda	ber		ber	
ore	uto	anc	шn	<u>.</u>	SCO	E S		Ш	
Ŭ	0	St	N	Ы	S	z		Z	
							Non-union rate	1	
							Nonunion/non-union	42	
							Nonunion rates	2	
							Nonunions	1	
							Rate of non-union	1	
							Rate on non-union	1	
		sis	5	1	4	5	Avascular necrosis	1	
		Osteonecrosis					Necrosis of tibial segment	1	
		nec					Osteonecrosis	1	
		teo					Posttraumatic osteonecrosis	1	
		Os					Radiographic osteoarthritis	1	
		S	2	0	2	2	Pseudoarthrosis	1	
		Pseudoarthrosis	2	Ŭ	2		Rate of Atrophic pseudoarthrosis	1	
		thr						-	
		oar							
		pna							
		Pse							
1		nt	17	0	17		Compartment syndrome rate	1	
1		Compartment					Compartment syndrome	11	
		art					Fasciotomy	1	
		шp					Fasciotomy rate	1	
		Со					Post-operative compartment syndrome	1	
							Rate of compartment syndrome	2	
		Infection	157	27	120	20	Acute infection	1	
			137	21	130		Bone union	1	
		fect					Deep infection	1	
1		Ini					Development of infection	1	
1							Increased infection rate	1	
							Infection	105	
							Infection in the wound of an open fracture, either deep or superficial	1	
1	I						Infection rate	6	

						0	Verbatim outcomes	
	in		ed			Number of unique outcome		ed
	Outcome domain (domain	e	Number of times reported			ltco		Number of times reported
	op)	outcome	də.		e	0		ep
	i.	utc	es r	ə	on	ant		es r
	ma	d o	im	l ou	utc	Inic		in
	ор	ise	of t	uto	γo	of u		of t
Core Area	me	ard	er (2	dar	er		er o
e ⊿	tco	nda	ц	nai	ů.	hb		dm
Cor	Out	Standardised	Nui	Primary outcome	Secondary outcome	Nul		NUI
							Infection rates	6
							Infection reported at any follow-up visit	1
							Infections	4
							Infectious complication	
							Infectious complications	1
								1
							Infective complications	1
							Infective symptoms	1
							Non-operatively managed infections	1
							Number of infections	1
							Overall infection rate	1
							Post-operative infection	3
							Postoperative infection	1
							Presence of infection	1
							Presence of Infection/Non-union/Mal-union	1
							Rate of infection	7
							Recurrent infection	1
							Response to infection	1
							Sepsis	1
							SSI	1
							Surgical site infection	4
							Wound infection	1
		n	88	14	74	17	Deep incisional infection	1
		ctic					Deep infection	60
		nfe					Deep infection rate	4
		i de					Deep infection with 90 days	1
		Deep infection					Deep infection within one year of initial injury	1
							Deep infections	1
							Deep infective complications	1
							Deep soft tissue infection	2
							Deep surgical site infection	3
							Deep tissue infection	1
							Deep wound infection	4
							Deep wound infection rates	1
							Infection	2
1							Infection deep	1
							Organ or space infection	1
							Postoperative deep infection	1
				1		1	Presence of deep infection	3

Core Area	Outcome domain (domain	Flap Standardised outcome	Number of times reported	O Primary outcome	2 Secondary outcome	Number of unique outcome	Verbatim outcomes Flap infection Flap infections	1Number of times reported
		Osteomyelitis	48	6	42	9	Chronic osteomyelitis Deep infection or osteomyelitis Infection at fracture site Infection of fracture site Osteomyelitis Osteomyelitis rate Presence of osteomyelitis Rate of chronic infection leading to osteomyelitis Recurrence of Osteomyelitis	2 1 1 39 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	Pin site infection	site infectio	30	2	28	10	Needle tract infection Pin site infection Pin site infections Pin track infection Pin track infections Pin tract infection Pin-site infection Pin-site infection Pin-tract infection Pin-tract infection Pintract infection	1 10 1 2 1 11 11 1 1 1 1 1 1
		Septic	5	4	1	5	Implant sepsis Knee sepsis Occurrence of ipsilateral knee sepsis Septic joint Superficial infection	1 1 1 1 1
		Superficial or wound infection	41	1	40	13	Infection superficial Local wound infection Percentage of wound infection Postoperative superficial infection Soft tissue infection Superficial incisional infection Superficial infection Superficial infections Superficial wound infection Superficial wound infection Surface infection	1 1 1 2 1 20 2 1 1 1 8

							Verbatim outcomes		
	Ē		g			ш		q	
	ma	e	DTE			t C		orte	
	qo	- mo	epo		e	no		epc	
	in (utco	S L	e	E o	ne		s r	
	ma	lol	me	Du	rto	niq		me	
	qo	sec	of ti	utc	l o	of u		of ti	
rea	ne	rdi	er c	0	Jan	er o		er o	
e A	cor	pu	nbe	nar	ouc	hbe		nbe	
Core Area	Outcome domain (domain	Standardised outcome	Number of times reported	Primary outcome	Secondary outcome	Number of unique outcome		Number of times reported	
•	0	•,		-	0,	_			
							Wound infection rate	1	
							Wound infection rates	1	
		γ	4	0	4	4	Histological analysis of muscle tissue	1	
		tor		_			IL-6 level	1	
		ora					Infection cultures	1	
		Laboratory					Positive CRP level	1	
								-	
		g	25	0	25	13	Bone shortening	2	
		nin						Leg length	1
		rte					Leg length discrepancy	6	
		sho					Leg length ratio	1	
		eg					Leg length shortening	3	
		orl					Limb length discrepancy	1	
		Leg length or leg shortening					Limb length shortening	1	
								2	
		g le				Limb shortening Limb-length discrepancy	1		
		Le					Mean lengthening distance	1	
							Rate of shortening	2	
							Shortening	3	
			47	4	10	4 5	Shortening deformity	1	
		res	17	μ.	10	12	Bacteria isolated	1	
		ultu					Bacterial culture	1	
		V CL					Causative organisms of infection	1	
		log					Clostridium difficile infection	1	
		oio					Culture result	1	
		Microbiology cultures					Drug resistant microbiology	1	
		Mi					Infectious pathogen causing infection and antibiotic resistance	1	
							Microbiological profile of deep infection	1	
							Microbiology cultures	1	
				1			Pathogen cultured	1	
1				1			Positive culture	1	
				1			Specific pathogen on culture	1	
							Time taken to negative culture	1	
							Wound culture	3	
				1			Wound culture results	1	
1		F	13	1	12	5	Positive wound culture	1	
		no,	13				Wound culture	9	
		3					Wound culture result	1	
1	1			1	I	I		_	

							Vorbatim autoamas	
	c		σ			Number of unique outcome	Verbatim outcomes	σ
	Outcome domain (domain		Number of times reported			0		Number of times reported
	2 D	Ĕ	od			of		bd
		8	re		Ĕ	e		re
	air	St	les	۳ ۳	0	l qu		les
	E S	p	tim	CO	ort	n		tim
л О	p	ise	of	out		of		of
Le	me me	ard	e		dar	er		er
e A	8	b	qu	nai	й С	q u		qu
Core Area	Du	Standardised outcome	l ur	Primary outcome	Secondary outcome			lur
0	0	0,	~	-	0)			
							Wound cultures	1
							Wound cultures from infected cases	1
		SS	3	0	3	2	Neurovascular disturbance	1
		Numbness					Presence of trophic change	2
		qu						
		١u						
		2						
			17	1	16	12	Donor site power	1
		ien	17	-	10		Foot strength	-
		irπ					Muscle wasting	1
		ba						1
		i.					Muscle weakness,	
		tor					Peripheral nerve deficit	1
		υΩ					Persistent foot drop	1
		or I					Rate of residual neurological deficit	1
		sensory or motor impairment					Sensation	5
							Sensory recovery	1 1 1 2 3 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
		ser					Severity of injury	1
								1
				Strength of affected extremity Touch sensation		-		
			Δ	0	Л	3		_
		rthritis	4	0	4	З	Ankle osteoarthritis	
		thr					Traumatic osteoarthritis	-
		bar					Traumatic arthritis incidence	1
		Osteoai						
		0s						
			18	1	47	24	Ache	1
		Pain or discomfort	-0	1	- '		Ankle pain	_
		ы					Anterior knee pain	1
		isc					Calf discomfort	1
		rd						
		0 u					Hardware pain	1
		Pai					Knee pain	1
							Mechanical pain	1
	1						Midfoot pain	1
							Neuropathic pain	1
	1						Pain	24
							Pain and discomfort	1
								1
							Pain during walking	1
							Pain free	1
		1					Pain on walking	2

							Verbatim outcomes	
	in		b			Number of unique outcome		b
	ma	е	orte			tc		1
	do	bm	epo		e	0		epo
	in (utco	S L	e	шo	ne		S L
	ma	l ol	me	Du Cu	uto	niq		ш.
_	qо	sec	of ti	utc	N O	of u		of ti
rea	ne	rdi	er c	0 7	Jan	er o		er o
e A	COL	nda	nbe	nar	ouo	nb.		hbe
Core Area	Outcome domain (domain	Standardised outcome	Number of times reported	Primary outcome	Secondary outcome	V ur		Number of times reported
\cup	0	0,	~	-	0,			
							Pain or joint stiffness	1
							Pain or pain interference	1
							Painful hardware	1
							Persistent post-surgical pain and functional outcomes	1
							Postoperative pain rate	1
							Postoperative pain	1
							Rate of Anterior knee pain	1
							Stump pain	1
							Tenderness	1
							Visual pain scores	1
			4	_	4			
		Medicatio	1	0	1	1	Pain medication use	1
		lica						
		1ec						
		2						
		S	2	0	2	2	Donor-site morbidity	1
		Plastics					Need for skin graft or flap coverage	1
		Pla						
			18	1	17	17	Donor site complications	1
		flap	10	T	1/	1/		
		or f				1	Donor site problems	1
		aft (1	Flap complication	1
		graft				1	Flap complications	1
		of				1	Flap donor site problems	1
		ion					Flap oedema	1
		cat					Flap reconstruction	1
		ilqı					Flap take backs	1
		οű				1	Flap thrombosis	1
		or c				1	Flap venous congestion	2
		nt (1	Flap-related complications	1
		event or complication of				1	Partial flap failure	1
		se e				1	Partial flap loss	1
		Adverse					Postoperative circulatory disturbances opf flap	1
		Ad					Postoperative venous stasis in flap	1
						1	Skin invagination at the docking site	1
						1	Venous congestion	1
						1		1
1						1		

							Verbatim outcomes	
	<u> </u>		p			me		p
	mai	ه	orte			tc		orte
	op	outcome	epd		e	no.		epo
	in (ltco	s r	e	Б	ne		s re
	ma	l o l	me	L D	ltc	niq		me
	op	sec	f ti	utc	ŏ	f u		of ti
rea	ne	rdi	er c	0	Jan	er o		ero
e A	0	Jda	nbe	nar	ouo	hb		nbe
Core Area	Outcome domain (domain	Standardised	Number of times reported	Primary outcome	Secondary outcome	Number of unique outcome		Number of times reported
	Ŭ							
		val	51	4	47	17	Complete flap loss	1
		survival					Flap failure	12
		or sı					Flap failures	2
		e o					Flap healing	2
		ilur					Flap loss	4
		fai					Flap necrosis	1
		Flap failure					Flap outcome	1
							Flap salvage	1
							Flap success	1
							Flap survival	13
							Free flap survival	3
							Need for second stage procedure of partial or complete flap failure	1
							Partial flap loss	2
							Time to flap healing	1
							Total and partial flap failure	1
							Total flap failure	1
							Total flap loss	4
		or	10	0	10	4	Flap necrosis	6
		f					Graft necrosis	1
		Graft					Marginal necrosis	1
		Ŭ					Partial necrosis	2
		ns	21	5	16	16	Characteristics of external fixator revisions	1
		Reoperations					Conversion to IM nailing	1
		era					Need for additional operations	1
		boe					Need for re-exploration with reason	1
		å					Need for reoperation	1
							Need for unplanned reoperation	1
							Number of flap take backs (taking back to theatre for revision)	1
							Number of soft tissue coverage procedures	1
							Reoperation	4
							Reoperation due to loss of reduction or non-union	1
							Reoperations	2
							Revision surgery	2
							Secondary interventions	1
							Total number of debridements until wound closure	1
							Unplanned surgeries	1
1	I		I	1		1	- r	

							Verbatim outcomes	
	Ŀ.		g			Number of unique outcome		p
	nai	a)	re			S		orte
	ор	Ш	spc		e	0 n		bde
) u	tco	s re	e	Ĕ	ne		s re
	nai	no	Je	E	Itco	jiq		ne
	dor	sed	ftii	ltc	no .	fur		f tiı
ea	Je (dis	LO.	, o	ary	L O		r o
Ar	0 U	daı	he	an	puq	pe		pe
Core Area	Outcome domain (domain	Standardised outcome	Number of times reported	Primary outcome	Secondary outcome	μ		Number of times reported
0	0	S	z	₫.	S	Z		Z
							Unplanned, clinically important reoperations	1
			-	_	-	-	Fuer success of all has been such	1
		r of	/	0	7	7	Frequency of debridement	1
		Number of					Need for debridement	1
		nm					Number of debridements and irrigation procedures	1
		z					Number of debridements before discharge	1
							Number of debridements	1
							Number of serial debridements	1
							The number of debridements	1
		SU	62	1	61	40	Additional procedures	2
		tiol					All-cause reoperation	1
		era					Average number of revision surgeries	1
		Number of operations					Complications leading to reoperation	1
		of					Further theatre visits for infection during the index admission	1
		ber					Incidence of reoperation	1
		ш					Incidence of revision surgery	1
		ž					Mean number of operations	1
							Need for additional procedures	1
							Need for further surgery	1
							Need for secondary procedure	1
							Number of external fixator construct revisions	1
							Number of further procedures	1
							Number of operations	8
							Number of Operations Number of Operative Procedures after index admission	8 1
							Number of orthopaedic operations	1
							Number of procedures	4
							Number of reinterventions on the bone	1
							Number of reoperations	1
							Number of revisions	2
							Number of secondary procedures performed	1
							Number of surgical interventions	1
							Number of surgical procedures	3
							Number or reoperations	1
							Rate of secondary surgeries	1
							Re-operation rates	3
1							Reoperation	2

Core Area	Outcome domain (domain	Standardised outcome	Number of times reported	Primary outcome	Secondary outcome	Number of unique outcome	Verbatim outcomes	Number of times reported
							Repeat surgery	1
							Requirement of secondary procedures for delayed flap healing	1
							Revision surgery	2
							Secondary procedures	1
							Secondary intervention rate	2
							Secondary operation rates	1
							Secondary outcomes included number of venous anastomoses, rates of	1
							venous anastomotic revision, and operative take backs, as well as rates	
							of arterial and venous compromise necessitating return to the	
							operating room	_
							Secondary procedures	2
							Secondary surgical procedures	1
							Total number of operations	3
							Total number of surgical procedures	1
							Total operations per patient	1
			2	0	2	2	Unplanned surgical procedures Limb oedema	1
		ling	Z	0	2	2		1 1
		Swelling					Peripheral oedema	Ţ
		р	3	0	3	3	Wound complications	1
		ound					Wound haematoma	1
		Ň					Wound problems	1
		sure	7	1	6	3	Successful closure of the soft tissue defect was our primary outcome measure.	1
		clos					Time to wound closure	1
		pu					Wound dehiscence	5
		Wound closure						_
		60	43	2	41	22	Delayed healing	1
		alin					Delayed healing of the stump	1
		Wound healing					Healing assessment	1
		pui					Healing time	2
		Vou					Healing time for soft tissue	1
		5					Incidence of acute fracture wound complications	1
1	1						Primary wound healing	1

							Vorbatim autoomaa	
	L L		σ			outcome	Verbatim outcomes	σ
	Outcome domain (domain	0	Number of times reported			8		Number of times reported
	dor	ŭ	oda		a	out		oda
) u	ţ	s re	۵	Ĕ	er P		s re
	naii	.no	nes	E E	t C	iqu		nes
	lon	ed	tir	ţ	no	n		tir
ea	e d	dis	Jo .	on	∑.	of Jo		of
Are	ы	dan	ber	Ye	pu	ber		ber
Core Area	utc	Standardised outcome	Ę	Primary outcome	Secondary outcome	Number of unique		Ę
S	ō	St	ž	PC	Se	ž		ž
							Rates of wound healing complications	1
							Skin maceration	1
							Soft tissue healing	1
							Tie for soft tissue healing	1
							Time taken for wound to heal	2
							Time to complete granulation tissue coverage	1
								1
							Time to skin healing	-
							Time to wound healing	1
							Type of healing	1
							Ulcer development	1
							Wound healing	17
							Wound healing problem	1
							Wound healing problems	4
							Wound healing time	1
							Wound infection	1
		Wound necrosis	14	1	13	9	Partial necrosis	1
		ros					Postoperative wound necrosis	1
		Jec					Rate of tissue necrosis	1
		рι					Rate of wound necrosis	1
		ino					Skin necrosis	6
		≥					Soft tissue necrosis	1
							Tissue breakdown	1
							Tissue necrosis	1
							Wound necrosis	1
	/	a)	4	2	2		Acute kidney injury	1
	าลท	Acute	•	_	_		Aki	1
	urir	Ă					AKI rate	1
	ρι						Improvement in renal function	1
	Renal and urinary		1	6	1			
	ena	Renal	1	0	1	1	Renal failure	1
	Re	Re						
	b 0	•	2	0	2	2	Ability to climb stairs	1
Life impact	linε	Ability to	2	0	<u> </u>		Climbing stairs	1
ц	ion	ility						T
fei	nct	Ab						
—	l fu							
	ica							
	Physical functioning	ji	4	0	4	4	Ability to resume activities of daily living	1
	٩	Abi					Activities of daily living	1
I	ı l						[·······	_

							Verbatim outcomes	
	Ē		g			Number of unique outcome		p
	Outcome domain (domain	e	Number of times reported			t C		Number of times reported
	op	ũ	epo		e	no		epo
	in (Itco	s L	e	Ш	ue		s r
	na	OL	me	E O	ltc	niq		me
	юр	sed	f ti	utc	/ 01	fu		f ti
rea	ne	rdi	r o	o >	an	L O		r o
e A	cor	nda	Jbe	Jar	puq	be		Jbe
Core Area	Out	Standardised outcome	Iun	Primary outcome	Secondary outcome	Iun		Iun
0	0	S	2	₽.	S	2		
							Regain of walking and activities of daily living	1
							Status of activities of daily living	1
		/	3	1	2	3	Average overall disability rating	1
		ility	5	-	2	J	Disability	1
		Disability					Overall disability rating	1
		Di						1 I
		u	88	8	80	30	Bony and functional assessment	1
		ctic					Clinical and functional outcomes	1
		fun					Donor site function	1
		al f					Foot functional outcomes	1
		/sic					Function	20
		ph					Function evaluation	1
		ral					Functional and bone results	1
		general physical function					Functional and clinical outcomes	1
							Functional assessment	2
		սի functional and					Functional outcome	18
		lal					Functional outcomes	3
		tior					Functional results	3
		Incl						
		o fu					Functionality	1
							Functional outcomes	-
		er l					Limb function	13
		Function - lower lin					Long term function	1
							Lower extremity function	1
		ion					Lower leg and ankle function	1
		nct					Lower limb function	5
		Fu					Measure of function	1
							Objective physical function	1
							Overall function	1
							Patient function	1
							Patient-reported outcome	1
							Patient-reported function	1
							Physical activity	2
							Physical functioning	1
							Return to limb function	1
							Time to return to full function	1
							Upper limb donor site assessments	-
		⊿	16	0	10	7		1
1			16	υ	16	1	Ankle and foot function	1

							Verbatim outcomes	
	.с		q			Number of unique outcome		p
	Outcome domain (domain	a)	Number of times reported			C C		Number of times reported
	dor	^m	spc		പ	out		spc
	u (0	tco	s re	۵	Ĕ	Pe		s re
	nai	no	ne	Ē	tco	liq		nes
	lon	ed	tir	LC	no	2		tir
ea	e c	Standardised outcome	of	Primary outcome	Secondary outcome	l o		of
Ar	om	dar	hei	ary	nd	bei		hei
Core Area	utc	anc	Ę	<u>.</u>	CO	ξ		E
ŭ	ō	St	ž	Р	Se	ž		Ī
							Ankle function	8
							Ankle functional outcome	1
							Ankle joint function	1
							Foot and ankle function	3
							Functional outcome	1
			_	_	-		Knee functionality	1
		Foot	/	0	7	4	Ankle and foot function	1
		Fc					Foot and ankle function	3
							Foot function	2
						-	Foot functional outcomes	1
		uc	12	0	12	7	Additional functional assessment	1
		ctio					Functional outcome	1
		fun					Knee function	5
		Knee function					Knee functional results	1
		Kn					Knee range of movement	2
		×					Knee Society scoring	1
							Ligament integrity of knees	1
		L	1	0	1	1	Shoulder function	1
		lde		_				
		Shoulder						
		Sh						
		٧	29	3	26	22	Ability to walk	3
		and mobility					Able to walk without aids	1
		Jok					Ambulating without support	1
		d n					Ambulation	1
							Ambulatory status	1
		ing					Gait	2
		Gait, walking						
		ν,					Impairment of walking function	1
		ŝait					Limping	1
		0					Mobility	5
							Mobility - Objective outcome	1
							Mobility status	1
							Observable limp	1
							Post operative ambulation status	1
							Regain of walking and ADLs	1
							Return to ambulation	1
							Return to ambulation and discharge destination	1
						1	Time to weight bearing status	1
							Use of a walking aid	1
•			1	•	1	1		

Core Area	Outcome domain (domain	Standardised outcome	Number of times reported	Primary outcome	Secondary outcome	Number of unique outcome	Verbatim outcomes Use of crutches Walking speed	T T Number of times reported
							Walking ability	1
							Walking without assistance	1
		١t	2	0	2	2	Ability to fully weight bear pain free	1
		Weight					Time to weight bearing	1
		to	14	0	14	10	Full weight bearing	2
		ne					Partial or painless weight bearing	1
		d tir					Time to full weight bearing	3
		anc					Time to full weight bearing without crutches	1
		tus					Time to full weightbearing ambulation without an aid	1
		sta					Time to weight bear without crutches	1
		ing					Time to weight bearing	2
		ear					Time to weight bear	1
		ht b					Weight bearing capacity	1
		Weight bearing status and time to					Weight bearing status	1
		SS	25	0	25	19	Active range of motion of the tibiotalar joint	1
		fne:					Ankle stiffness	1
		stif					Fixed flexion deformity	1
		pu					Joint mobility	1
		nt a					Joint stiffness	3
		Movement, range of movement and stiffne					Joint stiffness at the ankle and knee	1
		ove					Jumping	1
		Ĕ					Knee stiffness	1
		e of					Mobility	2
		Bug					Presence of subtalar arthrosis	1
		t, ra					Range of motion	4
		len					Range of motion in nearby joints	1
		ven					Range of motion of joints close to fracture site	1
		Ž0					Range of movement Range of movement at the hip	1
							Ranges of movement	1
							Stiffness	1
							The active range of motion of the subtalar joint	1
							Time taken to achieve complete range of movement	1

						0	Verbatim outcomes				
	.Ц		b			Ĕ		g			
	ma	e	DT.			t C		orte			
	op	ы	epc		e	no		epc			
	in (ltco	S L	e	шo	ne		S L			
	na	0	me	L D	ltc	niq		me			
	dor	sed	fti	utc	, ol	fu		fti			
rea	ne	rdi	er o	o >	ar	r o		r o			
e Al	cor	Ida	β	Jar	puq	pe		he			
Core Area	Outcome domain (domain	Standardised outcome	Number of times reported	Primary outcome	Secondary outcome	Number of unique outcome		Number of times reported			
0	0										
		ent	22	0	22	14	Ankle arthrosis	1			
		ů.					Ankle joint stiffness	1			
		0 V					Ankle range of motion	1			
		of movement					Ankle range of movement	6			
		0 G					Ankle rom	1			
		ng					Ankle stiffness	1			
		e ra					Knee joint stiffness	1			
		Ankle range					Mange of motion at the ankle	1			
		Ā					Mobility of the ankle	1			
									Range of ankle motion	1	
							Range of motion at ankle	2			
										Range of motion at the ankle	3
							Range of motion of the ankle	1			
							Range of movement of the ankle	1			
		t	25	0	25	16	Knee and ankle range of movement	1			
		ee range of movement					Knee joint stiffness	1			
		ven					Knee range of movement	1			
		0 U					Knee range of motion	2			
		of					Knee range of motion/knee stiffness	1			
		ge					Knee range of movement	1			
		ran					Knee range of movement	2			
		ee					Knee rom	1			
		Kne					Knee stiffness	4			
							Mobility of the knee	1			
							Postoperative knee range of motion	1			
							Range of knee motion	2			
							Range of motion at knee	1			
								2			
							Range of motion at the knee	3			
							Range of motion at the knee	1			
			_	_	_	_	Range of movement at the knee	2			
		ise	/	0	7	7	Ability to jump	1			
		exercise					Ability to run	1			
							Ability to squat	1			
		Irts,					Return to adapted sports activity	1			
		Sports,					Return to sport	1			
							Running	1			
							Sporting activity	1			

Core Area	Outcome domain (domain	Standardised outcome	Number of times reported	Primary outcome	Secondary outcome	Number of unique outcome	Verbatim outcomes	Number of times reported
		Wearing		0	1	1	Ability to wear shoes	1
	Social functioning (26)	Participation	3	0	3		Ability to resume recreation activities Patient activity Recreational activity	1 1 1
	Social fu	Reliance on others	1	0	1	1	Ancillary requirements	1
	functioning (27)	Work and	1	0	1	1	Return to work	1
	Role fur	Return to work or duty	16	2	14		Employment status Employment status before and after injury. Occupation status Return to work	4 1 1 4
	Emotional functioning and	Appearance	5	0	5		Aesthetic outcome Cosmetic outcome Scar quality	2 1 2
	Emotional fu	Donor site	1	0	1	1	Donor site appearance	1

Core Area	Outcome domain (domain	d Standardised outcome	¹ Number of times reported	O Primary outcome		T Number of unique outcome	Verbatim outcomes Mood	Number of times reported
	-	Mood	-	Ŭ	-			
		Worry	1	0	1	1	Fear	1
		Stress	3	1	2		Physical and mental stress Post-traumatic stress Stress	1 1 1
	Global quality of	Quality of life	32	0	32		General health status General Health/quality of life Health related quality of life Health-related quality of life Preference-based health-related quality-of-life outcomes Quality of life	1 9 3 1 7 1
	Perceived health	Subjective	2	0	2		Quality of life and physical function Subjective assessment of success	2
	Delivery of care (32)	Communication	1	0	1		Whether the leaflets were used to improve communication with other healthcare professionals.	1
		Patient	5	0	5		Patient satisfaction Patient satisfaction with the treatment result at the time of consultation Satisfaction with treatment Subjective outcome: satisfaction	2 1 1 1
Resource	Economic	Cost	24	8	16	12	Cost Cost analysis Cost saving Describe the economic burden on the healthcare system from the perspective of a payer.	10 1 2 1

Core Area	Outcome domain (domain	Standardised outcome	Number of times reported	Primary outcome	Secondary outcome	Number of unique outcome	Verbatim outcomes	Number of times reported
							Healthcare costs Hospital costs Patient level costing Projected lifetime disability cost Resource use Total healthcare costs Treatment cost True remuneration	1 1 1 2 1 2 1 2
	Hospital (35)	Hospital re-	5	0	5	5	Re-admission rates Number of secondary surgical admissions Rates of readmission Readmissions Re-hospitalisation rates at 1 year	1 1 1 1 1
		Length of hospital	52	0	52	22	Average hospital stayDuration of hospital stayDuration of hospitalisationHospital durationHospital length of stayHospital length of stayHospital stayHospital stayHospital stayHospital stayHospital stayHospital stayHospital stayHospital stayHospital stayLength of hospital stayLength of hospital stayLength of in-hospital stayLength of in-hospital stayLength of stay in hospitalMean inpatient hospital costsMean length of hospital staysNumber and length of hospital staysNumber of in-patient daysTotal duration of hospitalisation	1 1 1 1 1 1 4 2 2 1 16 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
		Lengt	6	0	6	5	Intensive care unit length of stay Intensive care unit length of stay Intensive treatment unit length of stay	2 1 1

Core Area	Outcome domain (domain	Standardised outcome	Number of times reported	Primary outcome	Secondary outcome	Number of unique outcome	Verbatim outcomes	Number of times reported
							Length of ICU stay	1
							Length of stay in ICU	1
		Number of	1	0	1	1	Number of emergency department attendances	1
		Jf	5	0	5	4	Number of clinic visits	1
		Number of					Outpatient appointments	2
		qm					Outpatient attendances	1
		Nu					Total number of outpatient visits	1
		Number of	1	0	1	1	Primary care encounters	1
Adverse events	Adverse events or	Adverse events	5	0	5	1	Adverse events	5

Supplementary Material 3. An inventory of outcome measurement instruments for open lower limb fractures.

Name	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency of reporting
1. Death outcome measureme	nt instruments (OMIs)	
Survival (1)		
Charlson co-morbidity index ¹	Physician reported outcome measurement instrument used to predict 10-year survival in patients with multiple co-morbidities ¹ .	1
Definitions of mortality	 Early mortality, defined as death within 90 days after injury. Defined as death between 90 days and 2 years 	3
	3. Mortality was defined as overall in-hospital death from any cause.	
	ome measurement instruments	
1. Musculoskeletal and con	nective tissue outcome measurement instruments (15)	
Bone outcome measure	ment instruments	•
Definitions of bone union	1. A fracture was considered healed if, on clinical assessment, there was no fracture site tenderness on manual palpation or no pain at the fracture site with full weight-bearing, radiographic fracture union demonstrated by the presence of bridging callus or the disappearance of the fracture lines on at least one diaphyseal aspect of each orthogonal radiograph, no hardware failure resulting in intra- medullary nail dynamization or dislocation, and no secondary procedure recommended or performed to promote fracture-healing or any other procedure that would interfere with the process of fracture-healing. The reason for the use of two of four cortices to define fracture union was to detect the earliest signs of fracture union and therefore optimize the detection of any acceleration of fracture-healing.	50
	2. Bone consolidation was clinically assessed by a non-painful callus palpation and a full weight bearing without any contention system. Radiological bone consolidation was analysed by two incidences on standard radiographs (anteroposterior and lateral). Consolidation was attested when a bone bridge or a fracture disappearance was seen on three out of four cortices. Radiographs were analysed by two different orthopaedic surgeons.	

Name	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency of reporting
	3. Union was determined both clinically and radiographically. Clinical union was based on direct documentation by the treating surgeon. Radiographic union was declared when cortical bridging was seen on at least 2 of 4 cortices with a stable implant. Nonunion was declared when documented by the treating physician and scheduled for nonunion surgery, or, when documentation was lacking, by a lack of radiographic union on the most recent available radiographs.	
	4. Malunion was defined as deformity of united bone with angulation> 5 degrees, shortening>1 cm and distal fragment rotation>15 degrees.13 Nonunion was defined when fractures were not developed union up to nine months after applying external fixator judged on clinically and radiologically. Delayed union was defined when fractures were not developed union up to 6 months judged on clinically and radiologically.	
	5. Fractures were considered clinically united when walking without pain was possible. On radiographs, union was defined as callus on two radio- graphic views with disappearance of the fracture line.	
	6. X-rays showed corticalization with bone thickness equal to that of the bone adjacent to the regenerated bone and/or consolidation of the docking site.	
	 Early, delayed and late unions were defined when complete bone healing took place within the following time frames, respectively: <6 months, 6–9 months and >9 months. 	
	8. Union was defined as the time when a bridging callus was identified on the radiographs and the fracture site was painless during weight bearing.	
	9. Time when both clinical (absence of pain or movement with the patient bearing full weight on the limb; the fixator attached but dynamised) and radiological union (presence of bridging callus in two planes) were complete and all types of support or immobilisation was removed.	

Name	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency
		of reporting
	10. Radiological union was defined as the presence of bridging callous in at least three out of the four cortices [18]. Clinical union was defined as a full painless weight bearing with the circular frame having been dynamised. The frame was removed when there was evidence of union across a minimum of three cortices and a painless full weight bearing.	
	11. Fracture union was assumed when bone healing progressed uneventfully.	
	12. Union was determined both clinically and radiographically. Clinical union was defined as pain-free full weight-bearing and radiographic union was defined as bridging callus of at least three of four cortices on final imaging. The computed tomography (CT) scan was obtained in the setting of uncertainty of radiographic union on plain film radiographs.	
	13. Bridging bone on 3 of 4 cortices and resolution of pain in the supracondylar region.	
	14. When callus maturation was closed over 3/4 of the fracture faces, according to the anteroposterior and lateral radiographs, and the fracture site showed movement or tenderness, clinically.	
	15. Bone union was evaluated based on the clinical evaluation of the fracture site, as well as radiologically. The definition of bone union was: (1) no fracture line and a continuous bridge of four cortices on X-rays (A-P and lateral views), (2) no instability at the fracture site, and (3) no pain at the fracture site on full weight bearing.	
	16. We defined fracture union as bridging callus being present on both the anteroposterior and lateral radiographs, the patient being nontender at the fracture site, and the patient being able to bear full weight on the affected extremity	
	17. The progress of bony union was assessed clinically and radiographically at 3-week intervals until union was sound. Radiographic criteria for union were the same for both groups (i.e. good evidence of bridging periosteal and endosteal callus formation as seen by the obliteration of the	

Name	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency
		of reporting
	fracture line on two orthogonal views). The clinical assessment of the union was mainly based on complete absence of pain and tenderness at the fracture site.	
	18. Fracture union was defined as bridging callus on 2 of 4 cortices on biplanar radiographs combined with a lack of patient symptoms. Radiographic data were available using the picture archiving and communication system and were re- viewed by 2 trauma fellowship-trained orthopedic attending surgeons. Agreement was obtained by consensus.	
	19. Union was declared when the patient was able to bear weight without pain or walking aid and formation of good callus.	
	20. Union was defined as callus formation involving at least three cortices or fading of fracture lines on each anteroposterior and lateral radiograph, combined with painless full weight bearing on the affected limb.	
	21. According to radiographic (bridging of the fracture by bone, callus or trabeculae) and clinical criteria (absence of pain or tenderness when weight-bearing)	
	22. We considered union to have occurred when radiologically anteroposterior and lateral radiographs showed bridging of at least three of the four bony cortices and clinically patient was able to walk full weight bearing without any pain.	
	23. Early, delayed and late unions were defined when complete bone healing took place within the following time frames, respectively: <6 months, 6–9 months and >9 months.	
	24. Union was determined both clinically and radiographically. Clinical union was defined as pain-free full weight-bearing and radiographic union was defined as bridging callus of at least three of four cortices on final imaging. The computed tomography (CT) scan was obtained in the setting of uncertainty of radiographic union on plain film radiographs.	

Name	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency
		of reporting
	 25. Fracture healing was defined as: clinically, no pain or tenderness over the fracture zone and radiographically, three solid bridging callus ridges connecting the fracture fragment on both the anteroposterior (AP) and the lateral views. We followed the US Food and Drugs Administration (FDA) guidelines, defining nonunion as a fractured bone that had not completely healed within nine months of injury and that had not shown progression towards healing over the past three consecutive months on serial radiographs 26. Fracture union was clinically defined as the ability to walk without aids and no pain or tenderness at the site. Radiographically, fracture union was defined as a solid bridging callus connecting the fracture fragments in both the AP and lateral radiographs 	
	27. Bone union was defined as the ability to bear weight without pain with evidence of callus bridging at ≥3 cortices on radiographs.	
	28. Healing is determined by the treating surgeon based on the modified radiographic union scale in tibias (mRUST) and clinical assessments. Due to acknowledged uncertainty of these assessments, surgeons grade the radiographic, clinical, and overall assessment of fracture healing together with their certainty of these assessments.	
	29. As either - non-union - Failure of the fracture to progress towards healing as observed by the treating surgeon and that requires further intervention to promote healing either surgical (i.e. bone graft) or non-surgical (i.e. bone stimulator) Or Failure of progression of fracture healing for at least 2 or 3 successive months with pain at the fracture site.	
	30. The definition of malunion was documented for each article. The rate of malunion according to the authors' definition was extracted from each article. The non-union rate included those fractures that developed osseous non-union after 1-year follow-up. Delayed unions were not included in the non-union rate if the fractures subsequently healed	
	31. Time to fracture union was based on radiological evidence of calus bridging at least three cortices.	

Name	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency of
		reporting
	32. All radiographs were reviewed and healing was defined as follows: bridging callus on at least three cortices as assessed on orthogonal plain radiographs and weight bearing without pain at the fracture site.	
	33. When both clinical (absence of pain) and radiological union (presence of bridging callus in two planes)	
	34. Evidence of callus formation. Localised osteolysis around fracture site if any. Locking bolt loosening/Nail loosening/Bone destruction if any/Loss of fixation.	
	35. With regard to fracture healing, fractures were classified as union, delayed union or nonunion. Owing to disagreement in the literature regarding the accuracy of radiographs in diagnosing fracture union, 20 we used a combination of clinical and radiological criteria. To be classified as union, fractures had to meet 2 criteria: 1) radiographic evidence of callus cortical bridging and 2) clinical evidence of being able to tolerate functional axial and torsional load at 16 weeks or less postoperatively. Delayed union was defined as fractures that did not meet both of the previously mentioned criteria at 16 weeks post- surgery. Delayed fractures were treated either with a pro- longed period of immobilization or with operative debridement and stabilization. Delayed unions that did not meet the criteria for union following treatment were defined as nonunions.	
	36. Union was defined on orthograde plain radiographs at the 6 month-and 12-month mark. For the definition of bony union, the Radiographic Union Score for Tibial fractures was used. This was then correlated with clinical information (tender and painful fracture area).11–14 The Radiographic Union Score for Tibial fractures score assigns a score based on the healing (callus formation) at each of the four cortices on an anterior-posterior and lateral radio- graph.15 Patients were categorized into two groups. The first group with a score from 4 to 6 and tenderness or pain in the fracture side was categorized as not united (radiologic and clinical nonunion). The second group was patients with a score of 10 to 12 without pain and tenderness and classified as united (radiologic and clinical union). Patients with a score from 7 to 9 were allocated to 1 of the 2 groups	

Name	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency of reporting
	depending on the clinical finding. Patients with tenderness and pain over the fracture area (clinical nonunion) were considered as not united and included into the first group. Patients without tenderness and pain over the fracture area (clinical union) were categorized as united and included into the second group.	
	37. Diagnosis of nonunion will include a failure of the fracture to progress towards healing as observed by the treating surgeon and that requires further intervention to promote healing either surgical (i.e. bone graft) or non-surgical (i.e. bone stimulator). Final consensus on nonunion will be determined by the Central Adjudication Committee.	
	38. Delayed union is defined as union after 3e6 months of definitive treatment 12 without the need for revision surgery to effect union.	
	39. Non-union was defined as lack of healing within 6 months requiring operative care. Delayed union was defined as lack of any healing on radiographs within 3 months that required further surgical treatment. Mal-union was defined as angular deformity, translation, or rotational alignment that required surgical correction.	
	40. Non-union was defined as lack of healing within 6 months requiring operative care. Delayed union was defined as lack of any healing on radiographs within 3 months that required further surgical treatment. Mal-union was defined as angular deformity, translation, or rotational alignment that required surgical correction.	
	41. We considered union to have occurred when radiologically anteroposterior and lateral radiographs showed bridging of at least three of the four bony cortices and clinically patient was able to walk full weight bearing without any pain.	
	42. Fracture union where no local tenderness or percussion pain, blurred fracture lines or the formation of a continuous callus, and the ability to walk three minutes with- out external fixation. Fracture radiographic union was defined as a bridging callus across three of four cortices on	

Name	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency of
		reporting
	orthogonal radiographs evaluated by a research investigator, as previously described.	
	43. The fracture was considered as united radiologically if three of four cortices showed bridging callus. Implant was removed after achieving union at fracture site.	
	44. Bony union was defined as radiographic union or clinical union (full painless weight bearing) reported by the radiologist or surgeon.	
	45. Defined as lack of bridging callus at 5 months that eventually healed within seven to nine months.	
	46. Union was assess radiographically using Hammer <i>et al.</i> criteria which has 5 grades with grade 1 & 2 regarded as union with "obliterated and barely discernible fracture line" with "union" achieved. The grade 3 has "uncertain" union with "discernible fracture line" and grade 4 & 5 defined as stage of union "not achieve".	
	47. Defined union as > 50% visible bridging callus across the fracture on the conventional radiographs and no movement or tenderness was present.	
	48. Normal healing was defined as healing within 6 months, and delayed union was regarded as healing after 6 months. A fractured bone that did not completely heal within 9 months of injury, as well as showing inapparent progression towards healing over three consecutive months on serial radiographs was characterized as non-union.	
	49. Fracture union was based on radiological evidence of callus bridging at least three cortices. Assessment of radiographic union was independently performed using two of the authors.	
	50. Union was defined as the presence of 3 cortices in continuity on the anteroposterior (AP) and lateral (L) x-rays and concomitant ability of the patient to fully weight-bear without pain.	
Definitions of clinical union	1. Clinical union where patients were able to walk without pain.	6

Name	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency of reporting
	2. If the patient could walk on the affected limb without aid or discomfort at the fracture site.	
	3. Clinical union assessed by the absence of movement at fracture site and time to painless weight- bearing.	
	 Clinical healing was arbitrarily defined as having achieved full weight-bearing and VAS pain score less than 4 while walking. VAS < 4 was selected because it represents mild pain. 	
	5. Painless weight bearing and lack of local tenderness over the site on physical examination.	
	6. Clinical union was defined as absence of tenderness at the fracture site.	
Definitions of malunion	 Malunions were defined as more than 5° of angular deformity in any plane. Nonunions were defined by lack of bony continuity and/or failure of progression toward healing with the need for an additional unplanned procedure to gain union. 	20
	 Malunion is defined as: 1) valgus; 2) varus, both with an angulation of more than 5 degrees in the coronal or sagittal plane; 3) malrotations; and 4) limb length discrepancy, larger than 1.5 centimeters. 	
	 In evaluation of fracture reduction, an angle of less than 5° was considered excellent, an angle of 5–10° fair, and an angle of more than 10° is poor. 	
	4. Malunion or malalignment defined as an angular or rotational deformity exceeding 5° or shortening exceeding 15 mm.	
	5. Initial and united anatomic lateral distal femoral angles (81°65°) were evaluated on anteroposterior views. Sagittal plane re- ductions were evaluated on lateral views. Clinically significant bone loss was de- fined as the radiographic presence of antibiotic beads within a cavitary metaphyseal defect. All patients had a minimum 3-month follow-up.	

Name	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency of
		reporting
	 Malalignment (or malunion) was defined as angulation or rotational deformity of 5or more, compared to the uninjured leg. 	
	7. As more than 5 degrees or varus/valgus, more than 10 degrees of anterior/posterior angulation, more than 15 degrees of rotation or shortening of more than 1 cm.	
	 Lower limb axis alignment in both the frontal and the sagittal planes. Mechanical axis deviation (MAD) was measured on the anteroposterior view standing radiograph; MAD was assessed as normal within the range of 6 mm lateral to 17 mm medial from the center of the knee. 	
	9. > 5deg angulation.	
	10. Rotational alignment was checked by looking at the foot progression angle to see any excessive in toeing or out toeing as compared to the opposite foot.	
	11. Malalignment was evaluated as varus/valgus angulation greater than 7 degrees on radiographs.	
	12. Deformity was defined as angulation, when there was > 5° angulation.	
	13. Angular malalignment (.5 degrees of sagittal or coronal angulation referenced in contralateral leg radiographs, if non-injured.	
	14. Shortening was defined as > 10 mm shortening compared to the unaffected side.	
	15. Bony complications were defined as mal-union, non-union, delayed union or a failure of the implant.	
	16. Limb alignment was determined by angulation, shortening, and rotation. Angulation was measured in the coronal or sagittal plane. Shortening was determined by clinical comparison with the contralateral leg. Rotational malalignment was measured in both lower extremities clinically	

Name	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency of
		reporting
	as the thigh-foot angle (TFA) and when suspicions remained, a determination was made by CT. Unsatisfactory alignment was defined when one of the following criteria was met: [1] shortening of 1 cm or more; [2] varus or valgus angulation of 5 degrees or more; [3] anterior or posterior angulation of 10 degrees or more; or [4] rotational malalignment of 10 degrees or more compared with the contralateral leg.	
	17. Malrotation (.10 degrees, determined by the foot-thigh angle).	
	18. Defined as a varus or valgus angulation of more than 5 degrees, anterior or posterior angulation of more than 10 degrees, shortening of more than 1.5 cm or more than a 0.5 cm gap at the fracture site.	
	19. Malunion was defined as more than 5 of angular or rotational malposition or of more than 1 centimeter of shortening.	
	20. Malunion defined as leg length discrepancy (.1 cm shortening)	
Definitions of non- union	 Nonunion, was defined as lack of union requiring unplanned surgical intervention after definitive wound closure or incomplete radiographic healing at 1 year. 	16
	2. Nonunion was indicated by recommendation of bone-grafting or other surgical intervention for nonunion.	
	3. Nonunion was defined as lack of radiological union 12 months post injury, as evidenced by bridging callus across three of four cortices, in two views on plain radiographs. Fractures requiring secondary procedures to promote union, excluding simple dynamization, or if diagnosed as such by an attending surgeon, were also classified as a nonunion.	
	4. Nonunion was described when either there was no progression of healing for continuous 3 months with the sclerosis of fracture ends or there was gap between fracture ends. Union was	

Name	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency of reporting
	considered to be delayed whenever the progression of bridging callus is slow as evident from the serial radiographs usually diagnosed early at 24–28 weeks.	
	 Non-union was described when either there was no progression of healing for continuous 3 months with sclerosis of fracture ends or there was gap between fracture ends. 	
	 Nonunions were defined by lack of bony continuity and/or failure of progression toward healing with the need for an additional unplanned procedure to gain union. 	
	 Failure of the fracture to progress toward healing, as observed by the treating surgeon, and required further surgical (i.e., bone graft) or nonsurgical (i.e., bone stimulator) intervention to promote healing 	
	8. Final outcome was assessed in terms of presence or absence of non-union at 16 weeks on AP and lateral X-rays of the tibia and Fibula.	
	 Nonunion was described when either there was no progression of healing for continuous 3 months with the sclerosis of fracture ends or there was gap between fracture ends. 	
	10. Nonunion was described when either there was no progression of healing for continuous 3 months with the sclerosis of fracture ends or there was gap between fracture ends.	
	11. Diagnosis of nonunion was based on clinical and radiological findings over a minimum follow-up period of >1.2 years. A fracture was not considered to be a nonunion until 12 months after the injury, to account for potential cases of delayed union. The clinical criteria used to define nonunion included the presence of pain and/or motion in response to physiological strain of the affected limb (e.g., the inability to fully weight bear without pain). The radiographic confirmation of union relied on the presence of bridging callus in at least 3 of 4 cortices assessed on anteroposterior and lateral radiographs.	

Name	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency of
		reporting
	12. Non-union was defined as failure of union of two or more cortices on biplanar radiographs at six months or no radiological progress in union for the preceding three months in the presence of bone defect involving two or more cortices	
	13. Time to union was defined by the radiographic union score in the tibia (RUST) score at 6 months.	
	14. Defined as absence of a bridging callus across a fracture site after the expected time interval for that injury (usually 10 months)	
	15. Diagnosis of nonunion was based on clinical and radiological findings over a minimum clinic follow-up period of >1.2 years, according to the principle outlined by Frölke et al 2007, ² which reaffirms the contribution of the Weber and Cech model of nonunion, specifying that in long bones, a minimum of 6 months should pass before nonunion is considered.	
	16. Nonunion was defined as painful fracture with inadequate healing of the fracture 6 months after injury and requiring revision surgery to achieve union.	
Definitions of radiographic bone union	 X-rays were rechecked regularly to examine the status of fracture healing, and we used the Lane- Sandhu score (Table II) to evaluate the callus formation rate and visible level of fracture line at the same time points as above. 	33
	2. The main outcome measure was fracture healing (i.e. adequate callus formation) on X-ray at twenty four weeks.	
	3. Radiographic union if callus on two radiographs with absence of the fracture line.	
	4. Union and consolidation were defined as the union of three of four cortices on antero-posterior and lateral radiographs.	
	5. Radiological union was defined as bridging callus formation and absence of a fracture line at the site of three out of four cortices. Time to heal was defined as the time of radiologic union allowing	

Name	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency
		reporting
	removal of external fixation [26]. Callus volume was evaluated by CT scan at 12 months, and compared to the pre-grafting CT scan.	
	 Routine follow-up radiographs were obtained every 4 weeks until solid continuous callus formation was observed; callus formation on 3/4 of the cortices and radiographic evidence of fracture line fading were considered signs of fracture union. 	
	7. Bridging callus on anteroposterior and lateral radiographs as well as the absence of pain on palpation and weight bearing	
	 Delayed healing was defined as at least 2 consecutive postoperative visits with lack of radiographic progression or incomplete radiographic healing with ongoing clinical symptoms between 6 months and up to 1 year after fracture. 	
	9. Presence of at least three corticals in anterolateral and lateral x-ray.	
	10. Radiographic union presence of a mature bridging callus in at least three of the four cortices in antero-posterior and lateral radiographs. Clinical union was defined as complete when the patient was able to bear full weight on the operated leg without pain or support.	
	11. Presence of bridging calluses on 2+ cortices.	
	12. Presence of callus.	
	13. Defined as complete union of the cortexes with sufficient callus support and continuity of the medullary canal on both anteroposterior and lateral radiographs.	
	14. Three or more of four cortices had bridging callus in anteroposterior and lateral views.	

Name	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency of
		reporting
	15. Union was considered to have occurred when anteroposterior and lateral radiographs showed bridging of three of the four cortices.	
	16. We defined union as more than 50% visible bridging callus across the fracture on conventional radiographs.	
	17. Callus formation on 3/4 cortices and radiographic evidence of fracture line fading were considered signs of fracture union.	
	18. Bridging callus across three of four cortices on orthogonal radiographs evaluated by one investigator at each site.	
	19. Fracture union was assessed on the basis of clinical and radiographic criteria: 1) bridging cortical bone on at least three cortices; 2) incorporation of the grafted fibula into the tibia; 3) no motion at the fracture site; and 4) painless ambulation.	
	20. Bone union is defined as the return of bone anatomic continuity at the fracture site (radiological union).	
	21. Within an average of 6 months (range 5–9 months), all patients had radiographic evidence of bony union (100 %) with a bridging callus and blunting of the fibula at both the proximal and the distal graft–host bone junction.	
	22. Fracture radiographic union was defined as a bridging callus across 3 of 4 cortices on orthogonal radiographs evaluated by a research investigator, as previously described.	
	23. Bridging callus across three of four cortices on orthogonal radiographs evaluated by one investigator at each site.	

Name	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency of
		reporting
	24. Bridging callus across three of four cortices on orthogonal radiographs evaluated by an MD research investigator.	
	25. The presence of external callus bridging the fracture site or absence of fracture lines was regarded as radiological union.	
	26. A radiographic union score for tibia (RUST) fractures.	
	27. The last available radiographs (anteroposterior and lateral) closest to the 52-week visit were independently adjudicated by 3 orthopaedic trauma surgeons blinded to treatment assignment using Radiographic Union Score for Tibial fractures criteria.	
	28. Healing was defined radiologically by the presence of a bridging callus.	
	29. We defined an uncomplicated fracture union as a patient who went on to fracture union without any additional surgeries.	
	30. Radiographic union as measured by the modified Radiographic Union scale in Tibia score. Whelan DB, Bhandari M, Stephen D, et al. Development of the radio- graphic union score for tibial fractures for the assessment of tibial fracture healing after intramedullary fixation. J Trauma. 2010;68:629–632.	
	31. Radiological union: Bridging of the bone on a minimum of three cortices on conventional antero- posterior and lateral radiographs.	
	32. Radiographic union was achieved according to union score described by Hammer et al Hammer RR, Hammerby S, Lindholm B. Accuracy of radiologic assessment of tibial shaft fracture union in humans. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1985;199:233–8.	

Name	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency of reporting
	33. Time to radiological fracture healing was measured. According to Angelini et al radiographic union was defined as bridging bone on a minimum of 3 cortices in antero-posterior and lateral radiographic views.	
Definitions of amputation	1. amputation occurring at or below the knee and up to the ankle.	5
	2. Any amputation occurring within the first 3 months of the initial injury.	
	3. Surgical removal of limb or part thereof.	
	4. A late or delayed amputation was defined as one performed more than three months after the injury, an early amputation was performed between twenty-four hours and three months after the injury, and an immediate amputation was defined as one performed less than twenty-four hours after the injury.	
	5. The amputation was defined as "early" if performed within 3 months of the trauma and "delayed" if performed thereafter.	
Definitions of pin site loosening	 A pin was considered loose if the pin site demonstrated erythema, pain, or discharge and the concordant radiographs showed at least 1 mm of radiolucency on both sides of the proximal cortex around the pin. 	1
Definitions of range of movement	1. Range of movement at knee and ankle.	5
	 Defined as 70°loss of knee flexion or 15° loss of knee extension, 50° loss of ankle motion, all as compared with the normal contralateral side. 	
	3. Reported in degrees of motion.	
	4. Reported in degrees of motion.	

Name	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency of reporting
	5. Clinical examination, knee and ankle range of movement were examined by an independent	
	examiner in comparison to the contralateral healthy side using a goniometer.	
External fixation index	Physician reported outcome measurement instrument. The EFI was calculated by dividing the time (days)	1
(EFI) ³	in the external fixator by the lengthening achieved (centimeters).	
Infection outcome measu	irement instruments	
Definitions of deep infection	1. Clear counts of some bacterial organisms from bone or tissue below the muscular fascia.	31
	 Infection of the bone and deep tissue necessitating unplanned operative irrigation and debridement. Planned repeat debridements and superficial infections, which did not need further surgery, were not considered to be deep infections. 	
	3. The diagnosis of 'deep Infection' was based on the criteria described by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) for 'deep incisional surgical site infection' as per Fig. 1. In particular, the definition was not reliant on positive deep cultures and assumed that any intervention, revision or antibiotic use was indicative of infection in this cohort.	
	4. Deep infections were defined as culture positive and requiring surgical debridement.	
	5. Defined as infection of the injured bone and deep tissue necessitating an unplanned operative irrigation and debridement at more than two weeks after the injury. We chose a two-week cutoff in order to address the concern of whether an early debridement was planned or unplanned. Planned repeat debridements and superficial infections not requiring surgery were not considered to be deep infections.	
	6. Deep infection was defined as infection requiring unplanned surgical debridement and/or sustained antibiotic therapy after definitive wound closure. Cellulitis and pin tract infections alone were not considered indicative of deep wound infection, but these were treated with the appropriate antibiotics at the surgeons' discretion and outcomes recorded. Nonunions were defined as unplanned surgical intervention after definitive wound closure or incomplete radiographic healing 1-year post fracture.	

Name	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency of
		reporting
	 Centers for Disease Control criteria for deep surgical site infection. Gopal S, Majumder S, Batchelor AG, et al. Fix and flap: the radical orthopaedic and plastic treatment of severe open fractures of the tibia. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 2000;82:959–966. 	
	8. The presence of a deep surgical site infection (SSI) was determined using the criteria of the Centers for Disease Control.19 Deep SSI is defined as occurring within 30 days after the operation, if no implant is left in place, or within 1 year, if implant is in place and the infection appears to be related to the operation. In addition, the infection must involve deep soft tissues (eg, fascial and muscle layers) of the incision and at least one of the following: (1) purulent drainage from the deep incision; (2) a deep incision spontaneously dehisces or is deliberately opened by a surgeon when the patient has at least one of the following signs or symptoms: fever (38.8C), localized pain or tenderness; (3) an abscess or other evidence of infection involving the deep incision is found or direct examination, during reoperation, or by histopathologic or radiologic examination; or (4) diagnosis of a deep SSI by a surgeon or attending physician.	,
	9. Deep wound infections required surgical debridement for a purulent wound or osteomyelitis and were treated with intravenous antibiotics for at least several weeks.	
	10. wound infections that required an unplanned return to the operating room for irrigation and debridement after wound closure or application of a negative pressure dressing.	
	11. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention definition of a 'deep surgical site infection': that is, a wound infection involving the tissues deep to the skin that occurs in the first year following the injury.14 Any infection that requires continuing medical intervention or has already led to amputation at or after the routine 6-week outpatient appointment will be considered a deep infection.	
	12. Deep infection was defined as present only if the infection required surgical debridement and long-term IV antibiotics based on infectious disease service consultation.	

Name	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency of
		reporting
	13. Any infection that warranted operative debridement was considered a deep infection.	
	14. The diagnosis of postoperative wound infection was confirmed using clinical signs and symptoms (erythema, swelling, warmth, constitutional symptoms), documented presence of a draining sinus and elevated serum markers (C-reactive protein, erythrocyte sedimentation rate). Deep infections were those extending to the fracture site, causing abscess formation and/or osteomyelitis.	
	15. Required IV antibiotics and operative intervention including soft tissue or bone debridement, secondary wound closure or soft tissue transfer and possible hardware removal.	
	16. Deep-site infection" was defined as an infection existing in a body part deeper than the skin/adipose tissue, including that leading to abscess formation or osteomyelitis.	
	17. Deep infection involving bone was diagnosed if there was a purulent discharge requiring further bony debridement.	
	18. Apart from explicitly documented, a deep infection was also considered to occur when terms such as fistula, deep abscess, sequestration and infected non-union were used in the manuscripts.	n
	19. Infection within 30 days after the operation if no implant is left in place or within 1 year if implant is in place and the infection seems to be related to the operation and infection involves deep soft tissue (e.g., fascia, muscle) of the incision.	
	20. A wound or deep infection is defined as an invasion of the joint or the soft tissues around the join by pathogenic microorganisms.	t
	21. Deep infection was defined as infection requiring surgical debridement with positive deep tissue or bone cultures.	

Name	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency
		of
	22. Infection occurred at any point in the study period and appeared to be related to the initial injury, or operation. The diagnosis of deep infection was not reliant on positive deep cultures and assumed that any surgical intervention, revision, or antibiotic use was indicative of infection. And infection involved the deep soft tissues (e.g. fascial and muscle layers) of incision. And at least one of the following: 1. purulent drainage from deep incision. 2. the deep incision spontaneously dehisced, or was deliberately opened by a surgeon when the patient had at least one of the following signs or symptoms: fever (>38 degrees C), localized pain, or tenderness. 3. An abscess or other evidence of infection involving the deep incision was found on clinical examination, during reoperation, or by histopathological/radiological examination.	reporting
	 Deep incision surgical site infection', as outlined by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). 	
	24. Infection requiring surgical debridement and irrigation after operative treatment of an open fracture or the development of an infected nonunion requiring treatment (positive intraoperative cultures), within one year after fracture treatment.	
	25. Deep infection was defined as infection deep to fascia requiring surgical debridement.	
	26. Defined by the surveillance definitions of Center of Disease Control and Prevention.	
	27. Major deep infection' was defined as patients requiring removal or exchange of metalwork for infection, delayed flap failure (partial or complete) due to infection, or deep infected collection requiring surgical drainage. Clinically diagnosed superficial infections that resolved with the administration of antibiotics (e.g., cellulitis) were not included.	
	28. Centers for Disease Control (CDC) definition.12 This definition covers infection occurring within 30 days of surgery, unless an implant is in place, in which case this period is extended to 12 months. Deep SSI presenting within 12 months of injury and any wound infection requiring continuing	

Name	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency of reporting
	medical or surgical intervention after 30 days, including those leading to amputation, were also recorded as a deep SSI.	
	29. Surgical site infection (SSI) at 30 days as per the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention definition.	
	30. Deep surgical site infection (SSI) at 30 days as per the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention definition.	
	31. Defined as purulent drainage or osteomyelitis presenting after definitive wound healing and diagnosed based on clinical suspicion and subsequent culturing, which required prolonged antibiotics or surgical debridement.	
Definitions of deep Wound Infection	 Deep wound infections included in this study are defined using the criteria set out in the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention document 'Definition of Healthcare- Associated Infection and Criteria for Specific Types of Infections in the Acute Care Setting' [11]. Where studies did not describe infection according to these criteria, judgements on inclusion were taken. CDC. CDC/NHSC Surveillance definition of healthcare-associated infection and criteria for specific types of infections in the acute care setting. 2013 . Available from: http://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/pdfs/pscmanual/17pscnosinfdef_current.pdf. Cited 8 July 2013 	5
	2. The diagnosis of postoperative wound infection was confirmed using clinical signs and symptoms (erythema, swelling, warmth, constitutional symptoms), documented presence of a draining sinus and elevated serum markers (C-reactive protein, erythrocyte sedimentation rate). Superficial infections were those limited to the skin and subcutaneous tissues.	
	3. A deep soft tissue infection was defined as any soft tissue infection that was not successfully treated by antibiotics alone and required operative intervention.	
	4. Deep soft tissue infection was defined as any soft tissue infection characterized by redness, warmth, swelling, or purulence that required operative intervention.	

Name	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency of reporting
	5. Any soft tissue infection greater than 2 weeks after the coverage procedure that was not successfully treated by antibiotics and required a return to the operating room	
Definitions of infected implant	1. Any chronic wound in the vicinity of the implant, including intermittently draining sinuses.	1
Definitions of Infection	 Laboratory culture results and presence of clinical infection. CRP and/or white cell count was elevated in combination with pus, discharge or wound breakdown, pro- vided it was related to the initial lesion, including the flap. Centers for Disease Control criteria. Mangram AJ, Horan TC, Pearson ML, Silver LC, Jarvis WR; Hospital Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee. Guideline for prevention of surgical site infection, 1999. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 1999 Apr;20(4):250-78; quiz 279-80. Wound infection or osteomyelitis treated within the first three months after the injury. The infection (outcome variable) was identified based on clinical and laboratory findings, according to the criteria of early infection within a two-week interval proposed by Willenegger and Both 1086 ⁴ This money that the logice was considered to be infected if the wound had any 	45
	 and Roth 1986.⁴ This means that the lesion was considered to be infected if the wound had any aspect of superficial or deep infection with or without fever, leukocytosis and elevated erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR). 6. The primary outcome variables collected were the presence of infection, type of infection, and the microbiological details of the infections identified. The definition of infection was adapted from the Centre for Disease Control definitions of nosocomial surgical site infections and definitions of infection proposed by Dellinger. Superficial infection was defined as redness and/or discharge from a wound which was treated with antibiotics. Operative debridement may have been performed, but there was no evidence documented of infection below the deep fascia. To be classed as a superficial infection, it must have been managed entirely without exposure of the 	

Name	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency of reporting
	underlying bone and/or implants. If symptoms of a superficial infection commenced more than 30 days after injury, it was not included, as this deemed unlikely to be a complication of the initial treatment of the open fracture wound. Deep infection was defined as redness and/or discharge from the wound with documented evidence of infection below the level of the deep fascia. If exposure of the underlying bone or implants occurred, either by wound breakdown or by operative debridement, then the infection was classified as deep. Deep infection was excluded if symptoms commenced more than a year after the injury date, as suggested by the Centre for Disease Control definitions by Horan et al.	
	7. Assessment of wound healing and infective complications were made using a modified version of the ASEPSIS wound scoring system as recommended by the surgical infection study group. The maximum score is 65. According to study by Ashby et al. a score of more than 20 is suggestive of infection. It is objective and repeatable with high sensitivity. For the purpose of this study, a score of 0–20 was taken as normal wound healing and a score of more than 20 as wound infection. The score was recorded at day 2, day 5 and day 14 following debridement. The highest score for each patient was adopted as determined at days 2, 5 and 14. Peel AL, Taylor EW. Proposed definitions for the audit of postoperative infection: a discussion paper. Surgical Infection Study Group. Ann R Coll Surg Eng 1991;73:385–8.	
	8. The definition of infection required the presence of pus and surgical treatment, along with the prescription of antibiotics, targeting the infection. Infections occurring after two months of the first surgical treatment or during a subsequent hospitalisation were considered to be hospital-acquired and excluded, as were patients with infections caused by methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) or methicillin-resistant coagulase-negative staphylococci.	
	9. Infection was defined as chart documentation of either a superficial or deep regardless of culture positivity. Superficial infections involved a documented SSI not affecting bone that required treatment with systemic antibiotics or local debridement. Deep infections involved a documented SSI with bone involvement as well as the need for surgical debridement.	

Name	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency
		of
	10. Requiring unplanned surgical debridement and/or sustained antibiotic therapy.	reporting
	10. Requiring unplanned surgical debridement and/or sustained antibiotic therapy.	
	11. The determination as to whether a patient incurred an infection varied from institution to institution. Factors that were used as determinants of infection included identification through	
	patient records, the presence of deep wound cultures obtained at surgery, the administration of	
	oral and/or parenteral antibiotics, or consultation with infectious disease physicians. The	
	incidence of infection was then compared between seasons within a given region, and then between institutions for each season.	
	12. Classified by use of a modified CDC criteria: Superficial incisional surgical site infection (SSI) is	
	defined as an infection that involves only the skin or subcutaneous tissue and at least one of the	
	following: o Purulent drainage, with or without laboratory confirmation, from the superficial	
	incision. Organisms isolated from an aseptically obtained culture of fluid or tissue from the superficial incision. o At least one of the following signs or symptoms of infection: pain or	
	tenderness, localized swelling, redness, or heat and superficial incision is deliberately opened by	
	the surgeon, unless incision is culture-negative. Deep incisional SSI is an infection that involves	
	deep soft tissues (e.g., fascial and muscle layers) and at least one of the following: o Purulent	
	drainage from the deep incision but not from the organ/space component of the surgical site. o A	
	deep incision spontaneously dehisces or is deliberately opened by the surgeon when the patient	
	has at least one of the following signs or symptoms: fever (>38C), localized pain, or tenderness,	
	unless the site culture is negative. o An abscess or other evidence of infection involving the deep	
	incision found on direct examination, during re-operation, or by histopathologic or radiologic	
	examination. Organ/space SSI is an infection that involves any part of the anatomy (e.g., organs or	
	spaces), other than the incision, which was opened or manipulated during an operation and at	
	least one of the following: o Purulent drainage from a drain that is placed through a stab wound	
	into the organ/space. o Organisms isolated from an aseptically obtained culture of fluid or tissue	
	in the organ/space. o An abscess or other evidence of infection involving the organ/space that is	
	found on direct examination, during reoperation, or by histopathologic or radiologic examination.	
	When interpreting these criteria, any infections that are superficial to the fascia were considered	
	"Superficial Incisional SSI" and any infections that were deep to the fascia were considered "Deep	

Name	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency of
		reporting
	Incisional SSI" (including infections of bone (osteomyelitis)). Organ/Space SSI referred to any infections that affect an organ other than bone.	
	13. Infection was defined when pus was present also when surgical and antibiotic care was considered necessary for the treatment of the infection.	
	14. ASEPSIS19 (Additional treatment, presence of Serous discharge, Erythema, Purulent exudate, Separation of deep tis- sues, Isolation of bacteria, and duration of inpatient Stay) wound score. Wilson AP, Treasure T, Sturridge MF, Grüneberg RN. A scoring method (ASEPSIS) for postoperative wound infections for use in clinical trials of antibiotic prophylaxis. Lancet 1986;1:311–313.	
	15. Pyrexia, raised total leucocyte count and local signs like pus discharge from the wound with erythema of skin edges within 1 week of primary debridement.	
	16. Centers for Disease Control diagnostic criteria for infection were used	
	17. Infection was classified into two groups, namely, superficial or deep (implant-related) infections, which were defined according to Dellinger et al. and CDC-guidelines. A superficial wound infection was one located above the fascia, with erythema and tenderness. A deep implant-related infection was defined as an infection involving deeper tissues as muscular fascia and bone, which could necessitate removal of the osteosynthetic material.	
	18. Superficial infection was defined as cellulitis or presence of serous discharge from the wound or pin tracks that resolved with oral antibiotic therapy and dressings. Deep infection was defined as soft-tissue or bone infection requiring surgical debridement, intravenous antibiotics, and/or removal of implants.	
	19. The diagnosis of infection was based on the presence of discharge, fever, local induration, gaping of the wound, and culture of wound swabs.	

Name	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency of
	20. Outcome measures included presence of infection requiring rehospitalization and need for soft tissue coverage or limb amputation within 3 months of the initial injury. Infection was determined by positive growth from intraoperative cultures or documentation of the presence of infection by the treating surgeon (to allow inclusion of culture negative infections). Need for soft tissue coverage included local flap or free flap procedures. Limb amputation was subclassified into 2 categories: acute amputation (#1 week from injury) and delayed amputation (1 week–3 months from injury).	reporting
	21. Infections are categorized according to standard Centers for Disease Control and Prevention definitions of surgical site infection. Deep infections are defined as those that require operative treatment; superficial infections are those treated only with antibiotics and wound care, and no operative treatment for the infection. All cases of infection collect the same information recorded on the METRC infection case report form (CRF) to help adjudication of this outcome.	
	 22. Deep infection was defined as positive deep wound cultures without positive bone cultures. 23. Based on hematological, microbiological, clinical, and, where performed, nuclear medicine investigations - but not clearly defined. 	
	24. Deep infection was considered to occur when terms such as fistula, deep abscess, sequestration, osteitis and infected non-union were used in the manuscripts.	
	25. Occurrence of a fistula, an abscess, need for antibiotics or follow-up surgery related to infection was considered as a recurrence of the original infection. Normal kinetics for CRP were defined as an increase after surgery until a peak value was reached, followed by a decrease. Peak value was defined as the earliest value obtained after surgery which was preceded and followed by measurements with lower values. Normalization of CRP was evaluated during follow up.	
	26. Infections will be classified according to the Center for Disease Control (CDC) Criteria. We will define infection in patients as a constellation of clinical symptoms and laboratory examinations.	

Name	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency of
		reporting
	These will include (but are not limited to) fever, erythema/cellulitis, positive tissue cultures, and frank purulent drainage.	
	27. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention criteria.	
	 28. ASEPSIS19 (Additional treatment, presence of Serous dis- charge, Erythema, Purulent exudate, Separation of deep tis- sues, Isolation of bacteria, and duration of inpatient Stay) wound score. Wilson AP, Treasure T, Sturridge MF, Grüneberg RN. A scoring method (ASEPSIS) for postoperative wound infections for use in clinical trials of antibiotic prophylaxis. Lancet 1986;1:311–313. 29. Soft tissue and deep metal infection were defined by the presence of clinical signs of infection (increasing erythema and/or suppurative discharge from the wound as assessed by a PRSU surgean, or infectious or infectious discasses physician) with positive cultures from soft 	
	surgeon, orthopaedic surgeon or infectious diseases physician) with positive cultures from soft tissues and fixation hardware, respectively.	
	30. Although the definition of wound infection following operative treatment of fractures has considerable variation, we utilized the following Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) criteria for surgical site infection as applied to orthopaedic wounds. A superficial wound infection was one that was located entirely above the fascia and did not require exposure of the bone or hardware. In those cases in which the fascia was absent secondary to the initial injury or subsequent debridements prior to definitive fracture fixation, the wound infection was considered superficial if there was no exposed bone or hardware and the infection did not track down to these structures. A deep wound infection was one that tracked down to the level of the bone and/or implants. In this study, all deep infections, in addition to meeting the CDC criteria, were required to have positive deep-tissue cultures and/or were treated with six weeks of parenteral antibiotic treatment in addition to irrigation and debridement of the wound.	
	31. Infection was diagnosed when there was purulent discharge with positive bacteriological culture.	
	32. Infection was diagnosed when there was purulent discharge with positive bacteriological culture.	

Name	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency of
		reporting
	33. Infection within 30 days after the operation if no implant is left in place or within 1 year if implant is in place and the infection seems to be related to the operation and infection involves any part of the anatomy (e.g., organs and spaces) other than the incision.	
	34. Presence of culture-positive osteomyelitis, infected nonunion, cellulitis, or abscess requiring treatment.	
	35. The development of infection was identified based on the surgeon's or infectious disease physician's documentation in the chart.	
	36. Clinical criteria for diagnosis of infection included: new pain/tenderness at the level of the fracture, nail insertion site, or locking screws; episodes of fever/chills; night sweats; tachycardia; localized swelling; erythema; or drainage. In addition, radiographs were reviewed for signs of infection: lucency around the nail or locking screws, loss of cortical density around the fracture site, or periosteal reaction around the intra-medullary nail.	
	37. Infection was diagnosed according to the criteria of Willenegger and Roth. ⁴ The diagnosis was made based on the presence of signs and symptoms of infection such as pain out of the area of injury, swelling, redness, purulent drainage, and high fever with a body temperature >38.5°C, along with laboratory test results such as an increased white cell count, continuously or increasingly raised erythrocyte sedimentation rate and C-reactive protein, as well as positive fluid culture results.	
	38. We considered any infection reported at follow-up to be clinically significant and therefore did not differentiate between deep and superficial infections.	
	39. Infection was assessed using South-Hampton criteria	

Name	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency of
	40. Infection included all of bone and joint infection, which required a surgical debridement and shaving procedure associated to an antibiotic delivery	reporting
	41. Infections were defined using standard Centers for Disease Control and Prevention criteria. Deep infections were defined as those requiring operative treatment; superficial infections were treated with antibiotics and wound care.	
	42. Infection was defined using the Horan criteria and definition for surgical site infections.	
	43. Infective complications were defined as osteomyelitis, implant infection or soft tissue infection.	
	44. Infection was diagnosed when there was purulent discharge with positive bacteriological culture.	
	45. Infection was subdivided into pin-track infection, superficial wound infection, and deep tissue infection. A pin-track infection was inflammation around the pin-track. A superficial wound infection was one located in the initial or operative wound that was above the fascia, with erythema and tenderness. A deep infection was defined as infection involving deeper tissues, such as muscular fascia and bone.	
Definitions of joint sepsis	1. Knee with infection that required reoperation with arthrotomy or arthroscopy.	3
	2. We defined knee sepsis as clinical signs and symptoms of infection leading to reoperation with an arthrotomy or arthroscopy within 1 month.	
	3. Defined as presence of a positive culture from knee aspiration or arthrotomy. Deep infection requiring operative debridement, superficial infection requiring antibiotic therapy alone.	
Definitions of osteomyelitis	 Osteomyelitis was identified by a positive bone culture obtained in the operating room that required antibiotic treatment, as documented in the health systems network medical records. 	11
	2. Deep osteomyelitis is defined as the inflammation of bone, bone marrow, and surrounding soft tissues, characterized by ongoing pain, secretion, or swelling accompanied by a significant	

Name	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency of reporting
	increase in inflammatory markers [increased body temperature > 38°C and white blood count (WBC) > 12,000], with or without radiological evidence.	
	3. Discharging sinus, fixed puckered overlying soft tissue and radiological changes consistent with chronic osteomyelitis	
	 Osteomyelitis was defined as a deep infection with positive intraoperative bone cultures. Osteomyelitis was defined as deep infection with positive bone cultures. 	
	6. Including the first day surgery, debridements, vacuum dressing placements, fasciotomy closures and all procedures performed later.	
	7. Positive bone and indium or magnetic resonance imaging scan results, or positive bone cultures testing results.	
	8. Osteomyelitis was identified acutely by clinical evidence with positive cultures from bone, and chronically by X-rays, MRI or CT imaging.	
	9. Positive bone culture and those treated for presumptive osteomyelitis with 6 weeks of intravenous antibiotics.	
	10. Deep infection with positive intraoperative bone cultures during the return to the operating room.	
	11. Osteomyelitis diagnostic criteria were classified as definite/probable (positive bone culture, direct evidence of infection, or symptoms with culture and/or radiographic evidence) and 105 were classified as possible (bone contamination, organism growth in deep wound tissue, and evidence of local/systemic inflammation). Osteomyelitis recurrence was defined as a subsequent osteomyelitis diagnosis at the original site ≥ 30 days after completion of initial treatment	
Definitions of p site infection		4

Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency of reporting
 Pin site infections were classified into 6 grades, from grade 0 to grade V (grade 0, normal; grade I, inflamed; grade II, serous discharge; grade III, purulent discharge; grade IV, osteolysis; and grade V, ring sequestrum). Pin site infections were graded according to Paley [14] as follows: grade I (soft-tissue inflammation), grade II (soft-tissue infection), or grade III (bone infection). Pin tract infection was classified and treated according to the Checketts-Otterburn classification system. 	
 Recurrent infection was defined as the presence of two of the following signs: local inflammation with or without pus; 2 C-reactive protein ≥ 10 mg/L; 3. presence of bone sequestrum on radiographs 	1
 The Cutting and Harding criteria were used to define the presence of infection clinically. These criteria included abscess, cellulitis, wound discharge, discolouration, delayed healing, friable granulation tissue, unexpected pain and tenderness, pocketing at the base of the wound, epithelial bridging, abnormal smell and wound breakdown. Fernandez R (2008) Review: infection rates do not differ for wounds cleansed with saline or water. Evidence-based Medicine 13, 179. ASEPSIS scoring system. Wilson AP, Treasure T, Sturridge MF, Grüneberg RN. A scoring method 	11
 Lancet 1986;1:311-13. Which consisted of wounds with skin edge necrosis and prolonged serous drainage 	
 A diagnosis of supericial soft-tissue infection was made if there were clinical signs of infection with positive bacteriological cultures. The presence of cellulitis or pus involving the soft tissues at the traumatic wound in the absence of clinical or radiological features of osteomyelitis (requiring antibiotic treatment or surgical 	
-	 Pin site infections were classified into 6 grades, from grade 0 to grade V (grade 0, normal; grade I, inflamed; grade II, serous discharge; grade III, purulent discharge; grade IV, osteolysis; and grade V, ring sequestrum). Pin site infections were graded according to Paley [14] as follows: grade I (soft-tissue inflammation), grade II (soft-tissue infection), or grade III (bone infection). Pin tract infection was classified and treated according to the Checketts-Otterburn classification system. Recurrent infection was defined as the presence of two of the following signs: • local inflammation with or without pus; 2 • C-reactive protein ≥ 10 mg/L; 3. • presence of bone sequestrum on radiographs The Cutting and Harding criteria were used to define the presence of infection clinically. These criteria included abscess, cellulitis, wound discharge, discolouration, delayed healing, friable granulation tissue, unexpected pain and tenderness, pocketing at the base of the wound, epithelial bridging, abnormal smell and wound breakdown. Fernandez R (2008) Review: infection rates do not differ for wounds cleansed with saline or water. Evidence-based Medicine 13, 179. ASEPSIS scoring system. Wilson AP, Treasure T, Sturridge MF, Grüneberg RN. A scoring method (ASEPSIS) for postoperative wound infections for use in clinical trials of antibiotic prophylaxis. Lancet 1986;1:311-13. Which consisted of wounds with skin edge necrosis and prolonged serous drainage A diagnosis of superficial soft-tissue infection was made if there were clinical signs of infection with positive bacteriological cultures. The presence of cellulitis or pus involving the soft tissues at the traumatic wound in the absence

Name	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency of
		reporting
	6. Infection within 30 days after the operation and only involves skin and subcutaneous tissue of the incision.	
	7. A superficial infection was defined as local cellulitis with or without serous discharge treated with oral antibiotics.	
	8. The indicators for late deep infection include prolonged courses of antibiotics and/or debridement surgery. For any such outcomes that may be unclear, blinded data and imaging review will be performed by two independent orthopaedic surgeons who will adjudicate the final recorded outcome.	
	9. Defined as clinical findings of superficial and local erythema, swelling, and tenderness of the wound or pin track, which were resolved with the administration of antibiotics	
	10. Superficial infection was defined as infection superficial to fascia, treated with local wound care and/or oral antibiotics.	
	11. As defined by the surveillance definitions of Center of Disease Control and Prevention	
Pain outcome measurem	ent instruments	•
Brief Pain Inventory (BPI)⁵	 Patient-reported outcome measurement instrument assessing the severity of a patient's pain and the impact of this pain on the patient's daily functioning. The BPI consists of 9 items assessing the following: 1. pain other than everyday kind of pains 2. location of pain (shading diagram) 3. worst pain in the last 24-hours 4. Pain at its least in the last 24-hours 5. Pain on average 6. Pain right now 7. treatments/medications for pain 8. relief of pain from treatment/medication 9. pain interference in the last 24 hours (general activity, mood, walking ability, normal work, relations with 	2

Name	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency
		of
		reporting
	other people, sleep, enjoyment of life)	
Visual Analogue	Patient-reported outcome measurement instrument to assess pain. The VAS is a unidimensional measure	6
Scale (VAS) ⁶	of pain intensity. Often assessed on a 0-100mm scale.	
2. Nervous system outcome	measurement instruments (17)	
Oxford MRC score ⁷	Physician reported OMI to assess muscle strength. Response items:	2
	1. flicker of movement	
	2. through full range actively with gravity counter balanced	
	3. through full range actively against gravity	
	4. through full range actively against some resistance	
	5. through full range actively against strong resistance	
3. Renal and urinary outcom	e measurement instruments (19)	
Acute Kidney Injury outcor	ne measurement instruments	
Definitions of acute	1. Defined as any increase in the serum creatinine concentration >50% above the patient's admission	2
kidney injury	value.	
	2. Acute kidney injury was defined as a serum creatinine concentration greater than or equal to 1.5	
	times baseline during hospitalization	
RIFLE Criteria⁸	Physician reported OMI assessing acute kidney injury Assessment areas:	1
	1. Risk of kidney injury	
	2. Injury to kidney	
	3. Failure of kidney	
	4. Loss of kidney function	
	5. End-stage kidney disease	
4. Psychiatric outcome meas	urement instruments (21)	
Depression outcome mea	asurement instruments	
Patient Health	Patient-reported outcome measurement instrument measuring depression against 9 domains for	1
Questionnaire (PHQ-	depression taken from the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th Edition.	
9) ⁹		
Post-traumatic stress disc	brder outcome measurement instruments	

Name	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency of reporting
Post-traumatic	Patient-reported outcome measurement instrument assessing the severity of PTSD symptoms. The	1
Stress Disorder	checklist has 17 response items based on the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders-IV	
(PTSD) Checklist ¹⁰	criteria for PTSD.	
Stress outcome measurer	nent instruments	
Definitions of	The physical and mental stress of the treatment for the patients and the nearest relative of patients were	1
physical and mental	assessed at the time of frame removal using a custom-made questionnaire on a 10-point rating scale, with	
stress	0 indicating no stress and 10 indicating maximum stress.	
3. Life impact outcome measure	ement instruments	-
1. Physical functioning (25)		
American Orthopedics	Patient and clinical reported outcome measurement instrument. Response domains include: 1. pain	23
Foot and Ankle Society	2. functional limitation on recreational and daily activities	
(AOFAS) ankle-hindfoot	3. maximum walking distance in blocks	
scale ¹¹	4. walking ability on different surfaces	
	5. Gait abnormality	
	6. Sagittal motion	
	7. hindfoot motion	
	8. ankle -hindfoot stability	
	9. Alignment	
Association for the	Physician reported outcome measurement instrument. The ASAMI criteria assess two domains:	24
Study and Application	1. bone results (union, infection, deformity, re-fracture and limb length discrepancy)	
of the Methods of	2. functional results (active walking, limp, stiffness, knee extension, ankle doors flexion, reflex sympathetic	
llizarov (ASAMI) criteria ¹²	dystrophy, pain, amputation, employment and return to activities of daily living)	
Chen grading system ¹³	Physician reported outcome measurement instrument to grade the functional status of the lower limb.	1
	Domains covered include:	
	1. return to previous work	
	2. walking with a normal gait	
	3. range of movement of the knee and ankle	
	4. sensation	
	5. trophic ulcers	

Name	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency of reporting
Disability Rating Index (DRI) ^{14,15}	 Patient-reported outcome measurement instrument assessing the level of disability. It consists of 3 domains with the following 12 response items: 1. basic activities of daily life: dressing, outdoor walks, climbing stairs and sitting a long time. 2. daily physical activities: standing bent over a sink, carrying a bag, making a bed and running. 3. work-related/more vigorous activities: light work, heavy work, lifting heavy objects and participating in exercise/sports. 	3
Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder and Hand (DASH) questionnaire ¹⁶	 Patient-reported outcome measurement instrument specific to the upper-extremity. The DASH is a 30-item symptom scale delivered over 3 domains: 1. degree of difficulty in performing different physical activities because of the arm, shoulder, or hand problem (21 items) 2. the severity of each of the symptoms of pain, activity-related pain, tingling, weakness and stiffness (5 items) 3. the problem's impact on social activities, work, sleep, and self-image (4 items) 	3
Enneking score ¹⁷	 Physician reported outcome measurement instrument that includes functional assessment measures. It assesses: 1. pain 2. function 3. emotional acceptance 4. supports (walking aids) 5. walking 6. gait 	5
Frequency Intensity Time (FIT) index ¹⁸	Patient-reported outcome measurement instrument assessing a person's level of physical activity over 3 domains: 1. frequency of exercise 2. intensity of exercise 3. time spent on workout	1
Functional outcome criteria by Tu et al. 1995 ¹⁹	 Physician reported outcome measurement instrument assessing functional outcome following open lower limb fractures in the following domains: 1. pain 2. range of motion 	1

Name	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency of reporting
	3. ability to return to normal work	reporting
Hamlyn Mobility Score (HMS) ²⁰	 Physical performance and patient-reported outcome measurement instrument to assess the physical performance of patients doing a timed 6-minute walk test, timed up and downstairs test and a timed up and go test. Standard test metrics are recorded, e.g. time and distance with the addition of estimated step variation using a ear-worn accelerometer. The HMS also consists of 4 questions assessing: 1. use of mobility aids 2. participation in work and leisure activities 3. satisfaction with walking 	1
	4. pain	1
Hospital for Special	Physician reported outcome measurement instrument to assess outcome after knee replacement surgery. The HSS knee score assesses 7 domains:	1
Surgery (HSS) knee scoring system ^{21,22}		
scoring system-	 pain (rest pain, support required because of pain) stability (measured as total varus-valgus arc, extension) 	
	3. motion (measured as total passive arc)	
	4. quadriceps strength (measured as a percentage of normal for age and gender)	
	5. subtractions (for extension lag, flexion contracture, fixed varus or valgus deformity)	
lowa ankle-evaluation rating system ²³	 Physician reported outcome measurement instrument assessing the function of the ankle. Domains assessed include: 1. function (housework or job, stair climbing, carrying heavy objects, ability to run, participate in athletics or heavy labour, walking independently, able to do garden work, difficulty getting in and out of a car) 2. pain 3. gait 4. range of motion 	5
Johner-Wruhs	Physician reported outcome measurement instrument assessing surgical outcome following a tibial	10
evaluation ²⁴	fracture. Domains assessed:	-
	1. bone union, osteitis or amputation	
	2. neurovascular disturbances	
	3. bone deformity	
	4. range of motion	
	5. pain	

Name	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency of reporting
	6. gait	
	7. Participation in strenuous activities	
Lower Extremity	Patient-reported outcome measurement instrument to assess a broad range of lower-extremity	3
Functional Scale (LEFS) ²⁵	orthopaedic conditions including the hip, knee, leg ankle or foot. The LEFS contains 20-items specifically	
	assessing the International Classification of Functioning, Disability, and Health model (ICF) domains of	
	activity and participation.	
Lysholm Knee Scale ²⁶	Patient-reported outcome measurement instrument to assess knee function. Is assess 8 domains	1
	including:	
	1. limp	
	2. walking support	
	3. pain	
	4. instability	
	5. locking	
	6. swelling	
	7. stair-climbing	
	8. squatting	2
Maryland foot score	Patient and Physician reported outcome measurement instrument to assess foot injuries. Domains	3
(MFS) ²⁷	include:	
	1. pain	
Mazur ankle function	2. function (gait, distance walked, stability, support, limp and wearing shoes)	1
evaluation ²⁸	Patient and physician reported outcome measurement instrument to assess ankle function over 12 response items in 2 domains:	1
evaluation	1. pain	
	2. function (limp, walking distance, support, hills up, hills down, stairs up, stairs down, ability to rise on	
	toes, running, range of motion, plantar flexion)	
Musculoskeletal	Patient-reported outcome measurement instrument assessing musculoskeletal function consisting of 100	1
Function Assessment	response items over the following domains:	-
(MFA) ^{29–31}	1. mobility	
(······)	2. hand and fine motor	
	3. housework	

Name	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency of reporting
	4. self-care	
	5. sleep and rest	
	6. leisure and recreation	
	7. family relationships	
	8. cognition and thinking	
	9. emotional adjustment and adaptation	
	10. employment	
Neer knee score ^{32,33}	Physician reported outcome measurement instrument to assess knee function following a supracondylar	2
	fracture. The following domains are assessed:	
	1. pain	
	2. function (as before injury, mild restriction, restricted; stairs sideways, cane or severe restriction,	
	crutches or brace)	
	3. range of motion	
	4. work	
	5. gross anatomy	
	6. roentgenogram	
Objective physical perfor	nance outcome measurement instruments	
6-minute walk test ³⁴	Objective physical performance measurement instrument used to assess functional exercise capacity. the	2
	6-minute walk test measures the distance an individual is able to walk over a total of 6 minutes on a hard, flat surface.	
Timed up and	Objective physical performance measurement instrument to assess physical performance by measuring	1
downstairs ^{35,36}	the time taken to ascend and descend a flight of stairs consisting of 14 steps.	
Timed up and go	Objective physical performance measurement instrument to determine fall risk and measure the progress	1
test ³⁷	of balance, sit to stand and walking. An individual is timed standing up from a chair with an armrest,	
	walking 3m, turning around, walking back and sitting down again.	
Timed walk test ³⁸	Objective physical performance measurement instrument to assess mobility by timing a patient to walk	1
	30.5m.	
Olerud and Molander	Patient-reported outcome measurement instrument assessing symptoms after ankle fracture in 9	1
scoring system ³⁹	domains:	
	1. pain	

Name	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency
		of
		reporting
	2. stiffness	
	3. swelling	
	4. stair climbing	
	5. running	
	6. jumping	
	7. squatting	
	8. supports	
	9. work and activities of daily living	
Paley Criteria ^{40–42}	Physician reported outcome measurement instrument assessing bone and functional outcomes of the lower leg. Domains assessed:	12
	1. Bone (consolidation, absence of infection, axial defect, limb-length discrepancy, docking site and	
	osteogenesis zone consolidation solid enough not to require protection, non-union and bone infection)	
	2. Function (pain free, walking, joint stiffness, talocrural or subtalar motion, use of analgesia, and activities	
	of daily living)	
Sanders knee score ^{43,44}	Physician reported outcome measurement instrument assessing knee function following distal femoral	1
	fracture assessing the following domains:	
	1. Range of movement	
	2. Pain	
	3. Deformity	
	4. walking ability (walking and stair climbing)	
	5. return to work (employment/return to pre-injury functioning)	
Severn scale scoring	Physician reported outcome measurement instrument assessing limb salvaged limb function in the	7
system by Puno et al ⁴⁵	following domains:	
	1. pain	
	2. activities of daily living	
	3. range of motion at the ankle and knee	
	4. residual deformity	
	5. radiological examination of degenerative joint changes and alignment	
	6. muscle strength of the foot	
	7. sensation	

ame	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency of reporting
Short Musculoskeletal	Patient-reported outcome measurement instrument assessing musculoskeletal function consisting of 46	3
Function Assessment	items reduced from 101 in the MFA. There are two parts to the SMFA:	
Questionnaire (SMFA) ⁴⁶	1. dysfunction index (34 items assessing patient perceptions of functional performance in 4 categories	
	including daily activities, emotional status, function of the arm and hand and mobility)	
	2. bother index (12 items assessing broad functional areas including recreation and leisure, sleep and rest,	
	work and family)	
The knee society clinical	physician and patient-reported outcome measurement instrument assessing:	4
rating system ⁴⁷	1. pain (on walking and climbing stairs)	
	2. range of motion	
	3. stability (medial/lateral and anterior/posterior)	
	4. deductions for extension lag, flexion contracture, malalignment and pain at rest	
Visual Analogue Scale	Patient-reported outcome measurement instrument to assess the foot and ankle in the following	1
Foot and Ankle (VAS	domains:	
FA) ^{48,49}	1. pain	
	2. function	
	3. other complaints	
2. Emotional functioning a	ind wellbeing (28)	1
Appearance outcome meas	surement instruments	
Cosmetic outcome	Patient-reported outcome measurement instrument to assess satisfaction. The patient's cosmetic outcome	1
score by O'Toole et al⁵⁰	score was based on the question, "How satisfied are you with the appearance of your injured leg or	
also	artificial leg?" Participants were asked to respond to each question by using a 5-point scale of descriptors	
	that included "not at all satisfied," "slightly satisfied," "moderately satisfied," "very satisfied," and	
	"completely satisfied." This was a novel instrument only cited in this study	
Vancouver scar	Physician reported OMI to assess scare scars and burns. Assessment areas:	1
scale ⁵¹	1. Vascularity	
	2. Height/thickness	
	3. pliability	
	4. pigmentation	

Name		Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency of reporting
	EuroQol 5-	The EQ-5D-3L descriptive system comprises the following five dimensions:	2
	Dimensions 3-Level	1. mobility	
	(EQ-5D-3L) ⁵²	2. self-care	
		3. usual activities	
		4. pain/discomfort	
		5. anxiety/depression	
		Each dimension has 3 levels: no problems, some problems, and extreme problems. The patient is asked to	
		indicate his/her health state by ticking the box next to the most appropriate statement in each of the five	
		dimensions.	
	EuroQol 5-	Patient-reported outcome measurement instrument. The descriptive system comprises five dimensions:	6
	Dimensions 5-Level	1. mobility	
	(EQ-5D-5L) ⁵³	2. self-care	
		3. usual activities	
		4. pain/discomfort	
		5. anxiety/depression	
		Each dimension has 5 levels: no problems, slight problems, moderate problems, severe problems and	
		extreme problems. The patient is asked to indicate his/her health state by ticking the box next to the most	
		appropriate statement in each of the five dimensions.	
	Short Form-12 (SF-	Patient-reported outcome measurement instrument. Assessing the following domains:	5
	12) ⁵⁴	1. physical functioning	
		2. role-physical	
		3. bodily pain	
		4. general health	
		5. vitality	
		6. social functioning	
		7. role-emotional	
		8. mental Health	
	Short Form-36 (SF-	Patient-reported outcome measurement instrument. Assessing the following domains:	17
	36) ⁵⁵	1. physical functioning	
		2. role-physical	

Name	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency
		of
		reporting
	3. bodily pain	
	4. general health	
	5. vitality	
	6. social functioning	
	7. role-emotional	
	8. mental Health	
Short Form-6	Patient-reported outcome measurement instrument. Assessing the following domains:	1
Dimensions (SF-6D) ⁵⁶		
	2. role limitations	
	3. social functioning	
	4. pain	
	5. mental health	
	6. vitality	
Sickness Impact	Patient-reported outcome measurement instrument to assess perceived health status. The full-length SIP	5
Profile (SIP) ^{57,58}	consists of 136 items within 12 domains:	
	1. sleep and rest	
	2. eating	
	3. work	
	4. home management	
	5. recreation and pastimes	
	6. ambulation	
	7. mobility	
	8. body care and movement	
	9. social interaction	
	10. alertness behaviour	
	11. emotional behaviour	
	12. communication	
Veterans Affairs	Physician/technician reported outcome measurement instrument used to assess the level of disability for	2
System of Rating	calculation of disability compensation. Each body system is assessed separately for the level of disability,	
Disabilities ⁵⁹	and a combined score is generated.	

Name	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency of reporting
Veterans RAND 12	Patient-reported outcome measurement instrument assesses domains:	1
Item Health Survey	1. general health perceptions	
(VR-12) ¹⁸	2. physical functioning	
	3. role physical	
	4. role emotional	
	5. bodily pain	
	6. vitality/mental health	
	7. social functioning	
	8. change physical	
	9. change emotional	
4. Delivery of care outcome m	neasurement instruments (32)	·
Satisfaction outcome meas	surement instruments	
Definitions of	1. All patients were asked to evaluate their satisfaction with the function of the lower leg, the	1
satisfaction	cosmetic appearance and overall outcome at final follow-up on a 10-point rating scale, with 0	
	indicating highest satisfaction and 10 indicating maximum dissatisfaction. The patients were	
	additionally asked to rate the function of the affected lower extremity in percent with the	
	contralateral uninjured side serving as a 100 % reference.	
The Patient	Patient-reported outcome measurement instrument assessing satisfaction in the following domains:	1
Satisfaction	1. general satisfaction	
Questionnaire Short	2. technical quality	
Form (PSQ-18) ⁶⁰	3. interpersonal manner	
	4. communication	
	5. financial aspects	
	6. time spent with doctor	
	7. accessibility and convenience	
Visual Analogue	Patient-reported outcome measurement instruments assessing satisfaction on a continuous scale (0-	1
Scale for	100mm). Novel score only used in citing study.	
satisfaction ⁶¹		
4. Resource use outcome meas	urement instruments	

Name	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency of reporting
1. Economic outcome me	asurement instruments (35)	
Definitions of cost	1. Cost utility analysis	10
	2. Health care system costs (direct health care costs) and costs for productivity losses (indirect health care costs).	
	3. The cost calculations represent a weighted average of actual expenses for similar entities, during a previous period, indexed to current time.	
	 The analysis of surgical costs was based on operative time (including anaesthetic time), theatre staff present (grade, discipline and number) and consumables (all drugs administered, dressings, sutures, etc.) 	
	5. Unit cost data will be obtained from national databases such as the BNF and PSSRU Costs of Health and Social Care (20). Where these are not available, the unit cost will be estimated in consultation with the UHCW finance department. The cost-consequences fol- lowing discharge, including NHS costs and patients' out-of-pocket expenses, will be recorded via a short questionnaire which will be administered at 3, 6, 9, and 12 months post-surgery. Patient self-reported information on service use has been shown to be accurate in terms of the intensity of use of different services.	
	6. Standard approaches developed for all METRC studies.	
	7. Cost of treating patients based on Patient-Level Information Costing Systems (PLICS) data.	
	8. Unit cost data were obtained from national databases such as the BNF24 and Personal Social Services Research Unit (PSSRU)'s Unit Costs of Health and Social Care 2012.25 When these were not available, the unit cost was estimated in consultation with the University Hospitals Coventry and Warwickshire (UHCW) NHS Trust finance department. The cost–consequences following hospital discharge, including NHS costs and patients' out-of-pocket expenses, were estimated using questions included within a questionnaire sent to participants at 3, 6, 9, and 12 months post	

Name	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency of reporting
	randomisation. Patient self-reported information on service use has previously been shown to be accurate in terms of the intensity of use of different services.	
	9. Hospital facility bills for each patient's graft surgery admission were requested from participating centers. Billed charges were converted to cost through application of Medicare cost-to-charge ratios. Professional fees for the surgeon and anesthesiologist were based on Medicare 2016 national average payment for the associated graft surgery procedures.	
	10. Real income received for service provision as determined per Human Resource Group remuneration.	
2. Need for intervention ou	tcome measurement instruments (36)	
Definitions of reoperation	1. All unplanned operations deemed clinically important.	10
	 Composite of all subsequent operative procedures to treat an infection, a wound healing problem, or a nonunion within 1 year of the initial surgical intervention 	
	3. Re-operation is defined as a surgery that occurs subsequent to the initial procedure. This composite endpoint of re-operation will include a narrow spectrum of patient-important procedures: irrigation and debridement for infection, revision and closure for wound dehiscence, wound coverage procedures for infected or necrotic wounds, bone grafts or implant exchange procedures for established nonunion in patients with postoperative fracture gaps less than 1 cm, intramedullary nail dynamizations in the operating room, and fasciotomies for compartment syndrome.	
	4. An operation to revise or augment fracture fixation after arriving to a Level V facility.	
	5. The third evaluation factor of the psychosocial evolution of the patients was represented by the number of later readmissions for secondary surgical interventions.	
	6. Reoperation was defined as at least one surgical procedure following the index procedure.	

Name	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency of reporting
	 Metal work exchange or reinsertion, joint fusion, bone resection and bone grafting) in addition to the routine. 	
	8. The secondary surgical procedure rate included any reported secondary surgical procedure related to the ankle fracture. This also included partial and complete hardware removals.	
	 Composite, all-cause reoperation within 1 year of definitive skeletal stabilization, excluding bedside irrigation and debridement for superficial pin tract infections, or planned ex-fix removal. 	
5. Adverse events outcome		
1. Adverse events or effe		18
complications	 Orthopedic complications were defined as local cellulitis, wound infections, infections documented by delayed wound culture, osteomyelitis, non-union or malunion, and secondary amputation. Infections of primary amputation sites were included, whereas infections of pin sites remote from the wounds were excluded. 	
	 Early postoperative complications were defined as any 1 or more of the following having occurred within 6 months from surgical reconstruction: hematoma, wound infection, deep venous thrombosis, thromboembolism, partial flap loss, complete flap loss, continued osteomyelitis, and progression to amputation occurring within the first 6 months after the injury. 	
	3. This is a composite measure defined as the occurrence within 2 weeks of injury of one or both of significant soft tissue necrosis developing after the initial surgery, or significant wound infection. Significant soft tissue necrosis will be determined based upon the amount of tissue surgically debrided after the initial surgery. Minimal trimming of skin edges removing no more than a few millimeters of tissue to clean the surface of a wound which was not obviously necrotic will be considered normal surgical practice and will not be scored as indicating 'significant necrosis'. ► Wound infection occurring during the acute phase will be assessed via review of data based upon those used in the USA Centre for Disease Control guide- lines for assessing surgical wound infections.43 ► The final adjudication on any cases where the presence of infection or necrosis is	

Name	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency of reporting
	equivocal will be determined via review of available clinical data, photos and X-rays by clinical adjudicators not involved in the patient's care and blinded to the identity and location of the patient, and the allocation to HBO or no-HBO group.	
	4. Primary study outcome is defined as having a major complication that results in either: (1) operative treatment during the index hospitalization; (2) rehospitalization during the 12 months after injury (involving operative or nonoperative treatment of the complication); or (3) same day surgery during the 12 months after injury. Major limb complications include 7 predefined occurrences: infection, flap failure, amputation, non-union, malunion, loss of reduction, or hardware failure as diagnosed by the treating surgeon.	
	5. Complications were subclassified into problems, obstacles and sequelae [14]. Problems did not require surgical interventions, while obstacles needed surgical revisions to be resolved.	
	 Postoperative complications were determined by noting any return trips to the operating room. Complications encountered included deep infection, heterotopic ossification/arthro- fibrosis, nonunion, and prominent hardware requiring removal. 	
	7. Complications included acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), pneumonia, deep venous thrombosis, deep surgical site infections (DSSIs), myocardial infarction occurring within 30 days or injury, organ/space surgical site infection, superficial surgical site infection, stroke/cerebral vascular accident, cardiac arrest requiring cardiopulmonary resuscitation, wound disruption, sepsis, and overall/any complication.	f
	8. We recorded complications requiring reoperation.	
	9. Pseudoarthrosis, chronic osteitis and angular deformities of over 10 degrees	
	10. All complications and surgical interventions related to the open fracture will be recorded.	

Name	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency of reporting
	11. Neurovascular injury be- cause of frame application, mechanical frame failure as evident by pin, clamp, or bar breakage, pin tract osteomyelitis, and septic arthritis because of intra-articular pin placement.	
	12. Pins within 1 inch of the fracture site,17 loss of fracture reduction,9 deep pin overpenetration 26 mm,18 soft-tissue pin placement (no cortical purchase), and intra-articular pin placement defined as pins within 14 mm of the tibial plateau or 10 mm of the tibial plafond.	
	13. Complication subtypes were coded as established in the Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project Clinical Classification Software manual.	
	14. Assessment of complications like muscle contractures, joint subluxation, axial deviation, neurological or vascular insult, premature consolidation, delayed consolidation, refracture and pin-site infection were done at each follow up visit and were managed accordingly.	
	15. Complications are defined as issues that required further treatment or surgery after frame removal.	
	16. Major complications were defined as any flap loss, return to operating room, amputation, or death.	
	17. Complication subtypes were coded as established in the HCUP CCS manual.	
	18. All complications and further surgical interventions related to the open-fracture wound or treatment of the wound were recorded using multiple approaches. Complications were documented at routine follow-up appointments, were self-reported by patients or were notified as adverse events (AEs) or serious adverse events (SAEs) (see Approval for main trial). All participants were invited for clinical review and a radiograph at 12 months, as per routine practice after this type of injury. If a participant had not returned a 12-month postal questionnaire, this was completed in clinic.	

ame	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency of reporting
Definitions of flap complications	 Defined as any flap- related adverse outcome requiring a return to the operating room. This included infection, dehiscence, necrosis, and thrombosis of the flap or its anastomosis, if applicable. Infection was defined as any infection in, adjacent to, or beneath the flap, to include osteomyelitis. Because nonunion of open fractures can be related to many variables that are unrelated to coverage, such as bone loss and soft-tissue stripping, it was not considered a flap-related complication in this study. Partial flap loss was considered when debridement occurred for partial flap necrosis. Total flaps loss required complete removal of the free-flap. partial flap necrosis that required a return to the operating room for debridement but did not require a revision coverage procedure. Flap failure was defined as any flap with tissue necrosis secondary to microvascular thrombosis, ischaemia, and/or infection. Including: split skin graft, secondary suturing, fasciocutaneous flap to cover necrosed flap. Total flap loss, partial flap loss, or return to the operating room in the postoperative period for impending flap failure. Deep infection with positive intraoperative bone cultures, radiographic changes consistent with osteomyelitis, or clinical documentation of operative findings consistent with osteomyelitis. Flap take-back was defined as any free- flap complication which required the return to theatre during the same inpatient stay. 	15

Name	Description of OMIs including definitions	Frequency of reporting
	 10. Total flap failure was defined as flap compromise requiring complete debridement during the index hospitalization. Partial flap failure was defined as those requiring additional surgical procedure(s) related to wound breakdown or need for flap debridement during the first 3 months after free flap coverage. Secondary outcomes included operative take- backs and success rates, as well as arterial and venous complications. 11. Total flap failure was defined as flap compromise requiring complete debridement during the index hospitalization. 	
	12. Flap failure was defined as total or partial necrosis of the transferred tissue. This complication always requires return to theatre for excision of the necrotic tissue.	
	13. Total or partial necrosis of the transferred tissue.	
	14. Intraoperative flap thrombosis was defined as recurrent venous or arterial thrombosis occurring during the primary flap procedure. Postoperative flap thrombosis was defined as any thrombotic event requiring emergent take-back for flap salvage following the conclusion of the primary flap procedure. Take-backs for the planned debridement.	
	15. Partial flap failure was defined as those requiring additional surgical procedure(s) related to wound breakdown or need for flap debridement during the first 3 months after free-flap coverage.	

References

1. **Charlson M, Szatrowski TP, Peterson J, Gold J.** Validation of a combined comorbidity index. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 1994;47(11):1245-1251.

2. **Frölke JPM, Patka P.** Definition and classification of fracture non-unions. *Injury*. 2007;38 Suppl 2:S19-22.

2. van Niekerk AH, Birkholtz FF, de Lange P, Tetsworth K, Hohmann E. Circular external fixation and cemented PMMA spacers for the treatment of complex tibial fractures and infected nonunions with segmental bone loss. *J Orthop Surg (Hong Kong)*. 2017;25(2):2309499017716242.

4. **Willenegger H, Roth B.** Behandlungstaktik und Spätergebnisse bei Frühinfekt nach Osteosynthese [Treatment tactics and late results in early infection following osteosynthesis]. *Unfallchirurgie*. 1986;12(5):241-246.

3. Keller S, Bann CM, Dodd SL, Schein J, Mendoza TR, Cleeland CS. Validity of the brief pain inventory for use in documenting the outcomes of patients with noncancer pain. *Clin J Pain*. 2004;20(5):309-318.

4. **Price DD, McGrath PA, Rafii A, Buckingham B.** The validation of visual analogue scales as ratio scale measures for chronic and experimental pain. *Pain*. 1983;17(1):45-56.

5. **Compston A.** Aids to the investigation of peripheral nerve injuries. Medical Research Council: Nerve Injuries Research Committee. His Majesty's Stationery Office: 1942; pp. 48 (iii) and 74 figures and 7 diagrams; with aids to the examination of the peripheral nervous system. By Michael O'Brien for the Guarantors of Brain. Saunders Elsevier: 2010; pp. [8] 64 and 94 Figures. *Brain*. 2010;133(10):2838-2844.

6. Hocine A, Defrance P, Lalmand J, Delcour C, Biston P, Piagnerelli M. Predictive value of the RIFLE urine output criteria on contrast-induced nephropathy in critically ill patients. *BMC Nephrol*. 2016;17:36.

7. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB. The PHQ-9: validity of a brief depression severity measure. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2001;16(9):606-613.

8. Blanchard EB, Jones-Alexander J, Buckley TC, Forneris CA. Psychometric properties of the PTSD Checklist (PCL). *Behav Res Ther*. 1996;34(8):669-673.

9. Kitaoka HB, Alexander IJ, Adelaar RS, Nunley JA, Myerson MS, Sanders M. Clinical rating systems for the ankle-hindfoot, midfoot, hallux, and lesser toes. *Foot Ankle Int*. 1994;15(7):349-353.

10. Inam M, Saeed M, Khan I, Durrani A, Satar A, Arif M. Outcome of ilizarov fixator in tibial nonunion. *J Pak Med Assoc*. 2015;65(11 Suppl 3):S94–9.

11. **Parmaksizoglu F, Koprulu AS, Unal MB, Cansu E.** Early or delayed limb lengthening after acute shortening in the treatment of traumatic below-knee amputations and Gustilo and Anderson type IIIC open tibial fractures: The results of a case series. *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery - Series B*. 2010;92 B(11):1563-1567.

12. Salén BA, Spangfort EV, Nygren AL, Nordemar R. The Disability Rating Index: an instrument for the assessment of disability in clinical settings. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 1994;47(12):1423-1435.

13. **Parsons H, Bruce J, Achten J, Costa ML, Parsons NR.** Measurement properties of the Disability Rating Index in patients undergoing hip replacement. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*. 2015;54(1):64-71.

14. **Gummesson C, Atroshi I, Ekdahl C.** The disabilities of the arm, shoulder and hand (DASH) outcome questionnaire: longitudinal construct validity and measuring self-rated health change after surgery. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2003;4:11.

15. Wada T, Kawai A, Ihara K, et al. Construct validity of the Enneking score for measuring function in patients with malignant or aggressive benign tumours of the upper limb. *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery - Series B*. 2007;89(5):659-663.

16. **Selim AJ, Rogers W, Fleishman JA, et al.** Updated U.S. population standard for the Veterans RAND 12-item Health Survey (VR-12). Qual Life Res. 2009;18(1):43-52.

17. **Tu Y, Lin C, Su J, Hsu D, Chen R.** Unreamed interlocking nail versus external fixator for open type III tibia fractures. *J Trauma*. 1995;39(2):361-7.

18. **Kwasnicki RM, Hettiaratchy S, Jarchi D, et al.** Assessing functional mobility after lower limb reconstruction: A psychometric evaluation of a sensor-based mobility score. *Ann Surg*. 2015;261(4):800-6.

19. Hofmann AA, Tkach TK, Evanich CJ, Camargo MP, Zhang Y. Patellar component medialization in total knee arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty*. 1997;12(2):155-160.

20. Evanich CJ, Tkach TK, von Glinski S, Camargo MP, Hofmann AA. 6- to 10-year experience using countersunk metal-backed patellas. *J Arthroplasty*. 1997;12(2):149-154.

21. Merchant TC, Dietz FR. Long-term follow-up after fractures of the tibial and fibular shafts. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 1989;71-A(4):599-606.

22. Johner R, Wruhs O. Classification of tibial shaft fractures and correlation with results after rigid internal fixation. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1983;(178):7-25.

23. **Binkley JM, Stratford PW, Lott SA, Riddle DL.** The Lower Extremity Functional Scale (LEFS): Scale Development, Measurement Properties, and Clinical Application. North American Orthopaedic Rehabilitation Research Network. *Physical Therapy*. 1999;79(4):371-383.

24. **Tegner Y, Lysholm J.** Rating systems in the evaluation of knee ligament injuries. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1985;(198):43-49.

25. Sanders R, Fortin P, DiPasquale T, Walling A. Operative treatment in 120 displaced intraarticular calcaneal fractures. Results using a prognostic computed tomography scan classification. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1993;(290):87-95.

26. **Mazur JM, Schwartz E, Simon SR.** Ankle arthrodesis. Long-term follow-up with gait analysis. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1979;61-A(7):964-975.

27. Martin DP, Engelberg R, Agel J, Snapp D, Swiontkowski MF. Development of a musculoskeletal extremity health status instrument: the Musculoskeletal Function Assessment instrument. *J Orthop Res.* 1996;14(2):173-181.

28. **Engelberg R, Martin DP, Agel J, Swiontkowski MF.** Musculoskeletal function assessment: reference values for patient and non-patient samples. *J Orthop Res.* 1999;17(1):101-109.

29. Engelberg R, Martin DP, Agel J, Obremsky W, Coronado G, Swiontkowski MF. Musculoskeletal Function Assessment instrument: criterion and construct validity. *J Orthop Res.* 1996;14(2):182-192.

30. **Schmidt-Rohlfing B, Pfeifer R, et al.** Scoring systems for outcome after knee injuries. *Injury*. 2011;42(3):271-275.

31. Neer CS 2nd, Grantham SA, Shelton ML. Supracondylar fracture of the adult femur. A study of one hundred and ten cases. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 1967;49-A(4):591-613.

32. **Balke B.** A simple field test for the assessment of physical fitness. *Rep Civ Aeromed Res Inst US*. 1963:1-8.

33. Nightingale EJ, Pourkazemi F, Hiller CE. Systematic review of timed stair tests. *J Rehabil Res Dev*. 2014;51(3):335-350.

34. Zaino CA, Marchese VG, Westcott SL. Timed up and down stairs test: preliminary reliability and validity of a new measure of functional mobility. *Pediatr Phys Ther*. 2004;16(2):90-98.

35. **Shumway-Cook A, Brauer S, Woollacott M.** Predicting the probability for falls in communitydwelling older adults using the Timed Up & Go Test. *Physical Therapy*. 2000;80(9):896-903.

36. Hallstrand TS, Boitano LJ, Johnson WC, Spada CA, Hayes JG, Raghu G. The timed walk test as a measure of severity and survival in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Eur Respir J*. 2005;25(1):96-103.

37. **Olerud C, Molander H.** A scoring scale for symptom evaluation after ankle fracture. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg (1978)*. 1984;103(3):190-194.

38. **Paley D, Maar DC.** Ilizarov bone transport treatment for tibial defects. *J Orthop Trauma*. 2000;14(2):76-85.

39. Paley D, Catagni MA, Argnani F, Villa A, Benedetti GB, Cattaneo R. Ilizarov treatment of tibial nonunions with bone loss. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1989;(241):146-165.

40. **Ferchaud F, Rony L, Ducellier F, et al.** Reconstruction of large diaphyseal bone defect by simplified bone transport over nail technique: A 7-case series. *Orthop Traumatol Surg Res.* 2017;103(7):1131-1136.

41. **Sanders R, Swiontkowski M, Rosen H, Helfet D.** Double-plating of comminuted, unstable fractures of the distal part of the femur. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1991;73-A(3):341-346.

42. Jain D, Arora R, Garg R, Mahindra P, Selhi HS. Functional outcome of open distal femoral fractures managed with lateral locking plates. *Int Orthop*. 2020;44(4):725-733.

43. **Puno RM, Grossfeld SL, Henry SL, Seligson D, Harkess J, Tsai TM.** Functional outcome of patients with salvageable limbs with grades III-B and III-C open fractures of the tibia. 1996;17(3):167-73.

44. **Swiontkowski MF, Engelberg R, Martin DP, Agel J.** Short musculoskeletal function assessment questionnaire: validity, reliability, and responsiveness. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1999;81-A(9):1245-1260.

45. Insall JN, Dorr LD, Scott RD, Scott WN. Rationale of the Knee Society clinical rating system. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1989;(248):13-14.

46. **Stüber J, Zech S, Bay R, Qazzaz A, Richter M.** Normative data of the Visual Analogue Scale Foot and Ankle (VAS FA) for pathological conditions. *Foot Ankle Surg*. 2011;17(3):166-172.

47. Richter M, Zech S, Geerling J, Frink M, Knobloch K, Krettek C. A new foot and ankle outcome score: Questionnaire based, subjective, Visual-Analogue-Scale, validated and computerized. *Foot Ankle Surg.* 2006;12(4):191-199.

48. **O'Toole RV, Castillo RC, Pollak AN, MacKenzie EJ, Bosse MJ, LEAP Study Group.** Surgeons and their patients disagree regarding cosmetic and overall outcomes after surgery for high-energy lower extremity trauma. *J Orthop Trauma*. 2009;23(10):716-723.

49. Baryza MJ, Baryza GA. The Vancouver Scar Scale: an administration tool and its interrater reliability. *J Burn Care Rehabil*. 1995;16(5):535-538.

50. **van Hout B, Janssen MF, Feng YS, et al.** Interim scoring for the EQ-5D-5L: mapping the EQ-5D-5L to EQ-5D-3L value sets. *Value Health*. 2012;15(5):708-715.

51. Herdman M, Gudex C, Lloyd A, et al. Development and preliminary testing of the new five-level version of EQ-5D (EQ-5D-5L). *Qual Life Res*. 2011;20(10):1727-1736.

52. Jenkinson C, Layte R, Jenkinson D, et al. A shorter form health survey: can the SF-12 replicate results from the SF-36 in longitudinal studies? *J Public Health Med*. 1997;19(2):179-186.

53. Jenkinson C, Coulter A, Wright L. Short form 36 (SF 36) health survey questionnaire: normative data for adults of working age. *BMJ*. 1993;306(6890):1437-1440.

54. **Obradovic M, Lal A, Liedgens H.** Validity and responsiveness of EuroQol-5 dimension (EQ-5D) versus Short Form-6 dimension (SF-6D) questionnaire in chronic pain. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*. 2013;11:110.

55. Bergner M, Bobbitt RA, Carter WB, Gilson BS. The Sickness Impact Profile: development and final revision of a health status measure. *Med Care*. 1981;19(8):787-805.

56. **Post MW, de Bruin A, de Witte L, Schrijvers A.** The SIP68: a measure of health-related functional status in rehabilitation medicine. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 1996;77(5):440-445.

57. **McGeary M, Ford MA, McCutchen SR, Barnes DK.** *A 21st Century System for Evaluating Veterans for Disability Benefits*. Washington, D.C.: National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. 2007.

58. **Thayaparan AJ, Mahdi E.** The Patient Satisfaction Questionnaire Short Form (PSQ-18) as an adaptable, reliable, and validated tool for use in various settings. *Med Educ Online*. 2013;18:21747.

59. **Frisvoll C, Clarke-Jenssen J, Madsen JE, et al.** Long-term outcomes after high-energy open tibial fractures: Is a salvaged limb superior to prosthesis in terms of physical function and quality of life? *Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol.* 2019;29(4):899-906.