

The ARRIVE guidelines 2.0: author checklist

The ARRIVE Essential 10

These items are the basic minimum to include in a manuscript. Without this information, readers and reviewers cannot assess the reliability of the findings.

ltem		Recommendation	Section/line number, or reason for not reporting
Study design	1	For each experiment, provide brief details of study design including: a. The groups being compared, including control groups. If no control group has	Methods section, lines 111-252
		been used, the rationale should be stated. b. The experimental unit (e.g. a single animal, litter, or cage of animals).	Method s section lines 120- 122
Sample size	2	a. Specify the exact number of experimental units allocated to each group, and the total number in each experiment. Also indicate the total number of animals used.	Methods section and Figure 2
		b. Explain how the sample size was decided. Provide details of any <i>a priori</i> sample size calculation, if done.	Statistical Analyses , lines 254-258
Inclusion and exclusion criteria	3	a. Describe any criteria used for including and excluding animals (or experimental units) during the experiment, and data points during the analysis. Specify if these criteria were established a priori. If no criteria were set, state this explicitly.	no criteria were set
		b. For each experimental group, report any animals, experimental units or data points not included in the analysis and explain why. If there were no exclusions, state so.	Figure 2
		c. For each analysis, report the exact value of <i>n</i> in each experimental group.	Figure 2
Randomisation	4	 State whether randomisation was used to allocate experimental units to control and treatment groups. If done, provide the method used to generate the randomisation sequence. 	Open label study Rt shoulder - MED Lt shoulder - Control
		 Describe the strategy used to minimise potential confounders such as the order of treatments and measurements, or animal/cage location. If confounders were not controlled, state this explicitly. 	none
Blinding	5	Describe who was aware of the group allocation at the different stages of the experiment (during the allocation, the conduct of the experiment, the outcome assessment, and the data analysis).	Open label study histology was assessed in ablinded fashion lines 216-218
Outcome measures	6	 Clearly define all outcome measures assessed (e.g. cell death, molecular markers, or behavioural changes). 	Methods lines 174-252
		b. For hypothesis-testing studies, specify the primary outcome measure, i.e. the outcome measure that was used to determine the sample size.	lines 254-258
Statistical methods	7	Provide details of the statistical methods used for each analysis, including software used.	Statistical Analyses lines 255-263
		b. Describe any methods used to assess whether the data met the assumptions of the statistical approach, and what was done if the assumptions were not met.	not relevant
Experimental animals	8	a. Provide species-appropriate details of the animals used, including species, strain and substrain, sex, age or developmental stage, and, if relevant, weight.	MATERIALS AND METHODS
		b. Provide further relevant information on the provenance of animals, health/immune status, genetic modification status, genotype, and any previous procedures.	Animals lines 113-117 NONE
Experimental procedures	9	For each experimental group, including controls, describe the procedures in enough detail to allow others to replicate them, including:	Methods lines 119=138
		a. What was done, how it was done and what was used.	Methods lines 119=138 figure 2
		b. When and how often.	Methods
		c. Where (including detail of any acclimatisation periods).	lines 119=138 figure 2

		d. Why (provide rationale for procedures).	Methods lines 119=138 figure 2
Results	10	For each experiment conducted, including independent replications, report:	results, figures and figure legends not applicable
		 Summary/descriptive statistics for each experimental group, with a measure of variability where applicable (e.g. mean and SD, or median and range). 	
	b. If applicab	b. If applicable, the effect size with a confidence interval.	

The Recommended Set

These items complement the Essential 10 and add important context to the study. Reporting the items in both sets represents best practice.

ltem		Recommendation	Section/line number, or reason for not reporting
Abstract	11	Provide an accurate summary of the research objectives, animal species, strain and sex, key methods, principal findings, and study conclusions.	Lines 15-37
Background	12	 Include sufficient scientific background to understand the rationale and context for the study, and explain the experimental approach. 	lines 68-108
		 Explain how the animal species and model used address the scientific objectives and, where appropriate, the relevance to human biology. 	lines 60-61
Objectives	13	Clearly describe the research question, research objectives and, where appropriate, specific hypotheses being tested.	lines 102-108
Ethical statement	14	Provide the name of the ethical review committee or equivalent that has approved the use of animals in this study, and any relevant licence or protocol numbers (if applicable). If ethical approval was not sought or granted, provide a justification.	MATERIALS AND METHODS Animals lines 113-114
Housing and husbandry	15	Provide details of housing and husbandry conditions, including any environmental enrichment.	lines 115-118
Animal care and monitoring	16	 Describe any interventions or steps taken in the experimental protocols to reduce pain, suffering and distress. 	according to the animal care committee requirements
		b. Report any expected or unexpected adverse events.	No adverse events
		c. Describe the humane endpoints established for the study, the signs that were monitored and the frequency of monitoring. If the study did not have humane endpoints, state this.	were noticed
			study did not have humane endpoints
Interpretation/ scientific implications	17	 Interpret the results, taking into account the study objectives and hypotheses, current theory and other relevant studies in the literature. 	Discussion lines 304-309
implications		b. Comment on the study limitations including potential sources of bias, limitations of the animal model, and imprecision associated with the results.	Limitations lines 344-351
Generalisability/ translation	18	Comment on whether, and how, the findings of this study are likely to generalise to other species or experimental conditions, including any relevance to human biology (where appropriate).	lines 353-356
Protocol registration	19	Provide a statement indicating whether a protocol (including the research question, key design features, and analysis plan) was prepared before the study, and if and where this protocol was registered.	protocol (including the research question, key design features, and analysis plan) was prepared before the study protocol was not registered
Data access	20	Provide a statement describing if and where study data are available.	none
Declaration of interests	21	a. Declare any potential conflicts of interest, including financial and non-financial. If none exist, this should be stated.b. List all funding sources (including grant identifier) and the role of the funder(s)	consultant for Magdent LTD.
		in the design, analysis and reporting of the study.	Eran Maman – is a consultant for Magdent LTD. Elad Yakobson – is an employee for
			Funding for this study was provided by Magdent LTD.