The impact of national guidelines for the prophylaxis of venous thromboembolism on the complications of arthroplasty of the lower limb
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The National Institute for Clinical Excellence (NICE) produces recommendations on appropriate treatment within the National Health Service (NHS) in England and Wales. The NICE guidelines on prophylaxis for venous thromboembolism in orthopaedic surgery recommend that all patients be offered a low molecular weight heparin (LMWH). The linked hospital episode statistics of 219,602 patients were examined to determine the rates of complications following lower limb arthroplasty for the 12-month periods prior to and following the publication of these guidelines. These were compared with data from the National Joint Registry (England and Wales) regarding the use of LMWH during the same periods. There was a significant increase in the reported use of LMWH (59.5% to 67.6%, p < 0.001) following the publication of the guidelines. However, the 90-day venous thromboembolism events actually increased slightly following total hip replacement (THR, 1.69% to 1.84%, p = 0.06) and remained unchanged following total knee replacement (TKR, 1.99% to 2.04%). Return to theatre in the first 30 days for infection did not show significant changes. There was an increase in the number of patients diagnosed with thrombocytopenia, which was significant following THR (0.11% to 0.16%, p = 0.04). The recommendations from NICE are based on predicted reductions in venous thromboembolism events, reducing morbidity, mortality and costs to the NHS.

The early results in orthopaedic patients do not support these predictions, but do show an increase in complications.

Prophylaxis for venous thromboembolism after lower limb arthroplasty continues to cause considerable debate.1-3 The difference in opinion between the orthopaedic surgeons and physicians is well documented.1,4 Historically, the risk of developing a fatal pulmonary embolism was thought to be as high as 3% following lower limb arthroplasty.5 However, using contemporary methods of anaesthesia, surgical techniques and improved rehabilitation, this figure is now less than 0.5%.6 Several studies7-9 have shown a reduction in both deep-vein thrombosis (DVT) and pulmonary embolism with pharmacological prophylaxis, but there is a need to balance this against the risk of bleeding complications caused by various thromboprophylactic drugs,10 prolonged wound drainage11 and thrombocytopenia.12 In an attempt to prevent venous thromboembolic events, aggressive prophylactic measures could paradoxically increase the risk of harm to patients. Despite rigorous scientific review of the literature on this subject, the various guidelines published by specialist societies and government bodies have failed to reach agreement on the type and duration of prophylaxis.13-17

The National Institute for Clinical Excellence (NICE) produces recommendations and guidance on the appropriate treatment and care of patients with specific diseases and conditions within the NHS in England and Wales, based on the best available evidence.18 In April 2007, NICE produced guidelines on prophylaxis against venous thromboembolism for patients undergoing surgery, recommending that all orthopaedic in-patients be offered a low molecular weight heparin (LMWH) for the duration of their stay in hospital, whereas high-risk patients, including all patients over 60 years of age, should continue treatment for a further four weeks after discharge.14 These guidelines are currently under review, although it is expected that NICE will continue to recommend the extended use of LMWH following lower limb arthroplasty.19 Surgeons may only have first-hand experience of very few venous thromboembolic events throughout their career. Therefore, NHS Trusts, keen to avoid the potential litigation following the latter, which comprise 2% of all claims in the last ten years,20 are pressured to follow these national recommendations.
NICE estimated that adherence to these guidelines, with 90% compliance, would result in a reduction in the rate of DVT from 3.3% to 2.3% and of pulmonary embolism from 2.3% to 1.6% following total hip replacement (THR) over five years in all surgical patients, with an associated reduction in morbidity and mortality and a cost benefit of around £4 million to the NHS. However, predicted reductions in venous thromboembolism are based on relatively small clinical trials which attempt to show, statistically, that one treatment is superior to another. The validity of these trials may be compromised by the use of endpoints other than pulmonary embolism, such as symptomatic DVT, which are easier to establish with sufficient power to show differences in treatment, and by financial support from the pharmaceutical industry.

The early effect of the NICE guidelines has yet to be reported. This paper aims to examine their impact on the use of LMWH in patients undergoing arthroplasty of the lower limb in England and Wales, and to analyse the effect on the national rates of complications relating to venous thromboembolic prophylaxis.

### Patients and Methods

We extracted records for patients having elective THRs and total knee replacements (TKRs) from the administrative hospital admissions database (hospital episode statistics), augmented with more recent data from the Secondary Uses Service. Hospital episode statistics cover all admissions to NHS hospitals in England and include 14 diagnostic fields, coded using the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, 10th revision (ICD-10 codes), and 12 surgical procedures, coded using the Office of Population, Censuses and Surveys Classification of Surgical Operations and Procedures, 4th revision (OPCS-4 codes). We linked records belonging to the same patient, defined using a combination of date of birth, gender and postcode, and noted the number of days between the index operation of a primary THR or TKR and any subsequent operation or re-admission. Patients with missing dates of operation were excluded. By employing the appropriate ICD or OPCS codes, complications following primary THRs and TKRs could be identified. Data were obtained on the number of patients returning to theatre within 30 days of the primary procedure for wound complications, excluding a return for reduction of a dislocation, or who were diagnosed with DVT, pulmonary embolism or thrombocytopenia within 90 days even if successfully discharged initially following the primary procedure. The codes are summarised in Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elective procedure</th>
<th>Complication within 90 days</th>
<th>Return to theatre within 30 days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TKR:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W40.1 Cemented</td>
<td>I26</td>
<td>W80.1-3, W80.8-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W41.1 Uncemented</td>
<td>I80.1-3, I80.8-9</td>
<td>Open debridement and irrigation of joint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W42.1 Unspecified</td>
<td>Phlebitis and thrombophlebitis (all sites including unspecified)</td>
<td>W81 Other open operations on joint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THR:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W32.1 Cemented</td>
<td>D695</td>
<td>W90 Puncture of joint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W38.1 Uncemented</td>
<td>Secondary thrombocytopenia occurring with Y442 (anticoagulants)</td>
<td>W92 Other operations on joint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W39.1 Unspecified</td>
<td>I80.1-3, I80.8-9</td>
<td>S472 Drainage of lesion of skin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W93.1 Hybrid, cemented acetabular component</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W94.1</td>
<td>W37.1 Cemented</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W38.1 Uncemented</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W92.1 Unspecified</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Secondary thrombocytopenia occurring with Y442 (anticoagulants)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W95.1</td>
<td>Secondary thrombocytopenia occurring with Y221</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

with information requested from the National Joint Registry regarding the use of LMWH during the same periods for all patients undergoing THR and TKR in England and Wales. As the latter included the Welsh population and patients from the independent sector, the numbers were higher than the hospital episode statistics data, but indicated the general trend in the use of LMWH rather than the absolute numbers. The National Joint Registry does not collect data on the duration of treatment. We have assumed therefore that surgeons using LMWH are compliant with 2007 NICE guidelines during the second 12-month period.

Statistical analysis. Prescribing data from 2005 to 2008 were used to construct a trend curve for the use of LMWH. The rates in the two periods of 12 months were compared using chi-squared tests with the software package SPSS version 15 (Apache Software Foundation, SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois).

The Dr Foster Unit at Imperial College has approval to hold patient-identifiable data granted by the Security and Confidentiality Advisory Group, with Section 60 support from the Patient Information Advisory Group and St Mary’s local research ethics committee.

Results
Data from hospital episode statistics were available on 104 640 lower limb joint replacements before the introduction of the guidelines and on 114 962 afterwards. The overall incidence of a venous thromboembolic event following arthroplasty of the lower limb in the English NHS patients rose from 1.85% (1937 patients) to 1.94% (2236) over the study period (p = 0.11), and was highest for THR (Tables II and III). The 30-day rate for return-to-theatre for infection was unchanged for both THR and TKR. The overall rate of thrombocytopenia secondary to anticoagulants increased significantly from 0.11% to 0.14% (p = 0.05), and this was more apparent for THR (p = 0.04) than for TKR (p = 0.12). Data from the National joint registry for the same periods show a significant increase in the use of LMWH for both THRs (60.7% to 69.0%, p < 0.001) and TKRs (58.4% to 66.3%, p < 0.001) (Table IV). These data are summarised in Figures 1 and 2.

Although the use of LMWH increased gradually during 2005/06, the prescribing trend showed an accelerated increase in the percentage of patients receiving LMWH from the second quarter of 2007 (62% for THR to the second quarter of 2008 (73%, Fig. 3).

Discussion
Since the introduction of the guidelines, data from the National joint registry have shown a modest but statistically significant increase in the number of patients prescribed

Table II. Data for patients with a total knee replacement recorded in the Hospital Episode Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre-guideline period</th>
<th>Post-guideline period</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of joints</td>
<td>55 468</td>
<td>60 965</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All VTE* events within 90 days (%)</td>
<td>1108 (1.99)</td>
<td>1244 (2.04)</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVT† (%)</td>
<td>661 (1.19)</td>
<td>744 (1.22)</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE‡ (%)</td>
<td>473 (0.85)</td>
<td>536 (0.88)</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCP§ (%)</td>
<td>59 (0.11)</td>
<td>73 (0.12)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTT¶ within 30 days (%)</td>
<td>327 (0.59)</td>
<td>330 (0.54)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* VTE, venous thromboembolism
† DVT, deep-vein thrombosis
‡ PE, pulmonary embolism
§ TCP, thrombocytopenia
¶ RTT, return-to-theatre

Table III. Details of patients with total hip replacement recorded in the Hospital Episode Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre-guideline period</th>
<th>Post-guideline period</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of joints</td>
<td>49 172</td>
<td>53 997</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All VTE* events within 90 days (%)</td>
<td>829 (1.69)</td>
<td>992 (1.84)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVT† (%)</td>
<td>488 (0.99)</td>
<td>576 (1.07)</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE‡ (%)</td>
<td>395 (0.80)</td>
<td>451 (0.84)</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCP§ (%)</td>
<td>55 (0.11)</td>
<td>86 (0.16)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTT¶ within 30 days (%)</td>
<td>208 (0.42)</td>
<td>249 (0.46)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* VTE, venous thromboembolism
† DVT, deep-vein thrombosis
‡ PE, pulmonary embolism
§ TCP, thrombocytopenia
¶ RTT, return-to-theatre
LMWH, with an absolute increase of 8.1%. This appears to have had no beneficial effect on the incidence of venous thromboembolism, with the rate having increased in THR and remaining unchanged in TKR. More worryingly, the rates of thrombocytopenia, a well-recognised side effect of the use of LMWH, have increased significantly after THR. Although the 30-day rate of return-to-theatre for post-operative wound infection has remained almost unchanged, the risk of other wound complications remains unknown.

Data published by the joint registry based on operations linked to hospital episode statistics from April 2003 to September 2007 showed that the incidence of pulmonary embolism at three months following THR was higher in patients given LMWH than in those treated with aspirin, but lower after TKR. Despite the significant increase in the use of LMWH presented in this paper there is no apparent fall in the overall rates of pulmonary embolism. It is worth noting that Cusick and Beverland found that the overall death rate for their consecutive series of over 4000 lower limb arthroplasties was only 0.31% when patients were prescribed aspirin only, lower than figures quoted in the National joint registry for any regime. Parry, Wylde and Blom reported a 0% 30- and 90-day mortality using aspirin alone as chemical thromboprophylaxis in 1549 THRs.

The joint registry group also noted that at three months the rates for pulmonary embolism and mortality were lower for both THR and TKR when mechanical prophylaxis alone was used rather than aspirin or LMWH, although this was not adjusted for confounding factors. The NICE guidelines acknowledge the important role of mechanical devices, in reducing the rates of venous thromboembolism recommending their use in all orthopaedic in-patients, together with appropriate anaesthetic techniques, early mobilisation, limb exercises and adequate hydration. A number of small recent studies question the use of any pharmacological agents, suggesting that foot pumps significantly reduce the risk of DVT without the added complications.

Adverse events relating to pharmacological prophylaxis are poorly reported, but may be around 2%. A large, retrospective analysis of patients with a lower limb arthroplasty showed that the incidence of thrombocytopenia in those prescribed LMWH was significantly higher than in those not receiving this drug. Patients who developed thrombocytopenia had a greater number of thrombotic events, incurred significantly greater healthcare costs and experienced a longer hospital stay. Heparin-induced thrombocytopenia and heparin-induced thrombocytopenia with thrombosis are rare immune-mediated adverse drug reactions that occur following exposure to LMWH and can cause venous thromboembolism, arterial clots and death. Rates of 0.2% have been reported following administration of LMWH. The mortality rates after heparin-induced thrombocytopenia with thrombosis, for which orthopaedic patients are at a higher risk of developing than medical cardiovascular patients are between 15% and 30%. The clinical and economic implications of thrombocytopenia were not assessed by NICE.

NICE estimates that only 60% of eligible orthopaedic patients receive any form of prophylaxis, as judged by the Department of Health survey of NHS Trusts in 2003. They also anticipated that reducing the rates of DVT would reduce the long-term costs of treating the post-thrombotic limb. The 2007 cost analyses are therefore based on these assumptions. However, according to the fifth Annual Report of the National joint registry, over 99% of patients undergoing THRs and TKRs during 2007 received some form of prophylaxis, and so the predicted cost benefits of the widespread use of LMWH in these patients are likely to be inaccurate. Although the benefit of reducing longer term problems with a post-thrombotic limb by avoiding post-operative venographically proven asymptomatic DVT has not yet been clearly established, some (underpowered)

---

**Table IV. Numbers of patients prescribed low-molecular-weight-heparin (National joint registry data)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre-guideline period</th>
<th>Post-guideline period</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>THR (%)</td>
<td>37 408 (60.7)</td>
<td>46 340 (69.0)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TKR (%)</td>
<td>38 010 (58.4)</td>
<td>48 766 (66.3)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (%)</td>
<td>75 418 (59.5)</td>
<td>95 106 (67.6)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* THR, total hip replacement
† TKR, total knee replacement

---

**Fig. 1**

Bar chart showing the incidence of venous thromboembolism-related complications following total hip replacement (VTE, venous thromboembolism; DVT, deep-vein thrombosis; PE, pulmonary embolism; TCP, thrombocytopenia; RTT, return-to-theatre for infection).
studies suggest the risk may be no higher than in patients who did not have a DVT.32-34

NICE acknowledge that the ‘absence of accurate estimates of venous thromboembolic risk in the modern era’ make it almost impossible to state the absolute benefits of prophylaxis. Despite rigorous analyses of the published scientific data, guidelines have failed to reach agreement on the most appropriate prophylaxis.13-17 Published randomised controlled trials7-9 which appear to be well designed are compromised by insufficient power to detect significant differences in

The use of low-molecular-weight-heparin (LMWH) (all patients recorded on National joint registry in England and Wales) and associated complication rates (English hospital episode statistics data) following total hip replacement over the pre- and post-guideline periods (LMWH, low molecular weight heparin; NJR, national joint registry; HES, hospital episode statistics; THR, total hip replacement; VTE events, venous thromboembolic events (deep venous thrombosis and pulmonary embolism); TCP, thrombocytopenia; NICE, National Institute for clinical excellence).

The quarterly trend of average use of low-molecular-weight-heparin for lower limb arthroplasty (TKR, total knee replacement, THR, total hip replacement; NICE, National Institute for clinical excellence). Dashed trend lines - expected increases based on pre-guideline data.
the rates of fatal pulmonary embolism, but instead use alternative endpoints that may not accurately reflect the actual risk. Some trials sponsored by industry may have an inherent bias. Whereas NICE, the American College of Chest Physicians and the International Consensus group support the use of LMWH of varying duration, the Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network suggest that aspirin or LMWH may be used.\textsuperscript{13,14,16,17} The American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons guidelines, which are based on literature reporting only the reduction of symptomatic pulmonary embolism, failed to identify good evidence for recommending any pharmacologic prophylaxis.\textsuperscript{15} Although this outcome measure may provide more accuracy, it is rarely used in the literature because of the large numbers required to power the statistics sufficiently.

Based on the 2007 NICE guidelines and demographic data from the National joint registry, 75\% of patients undergoing THR should currently receive an extended course of four weeks of LMWH. This will increase to 100\% if the latest NICE consultation guidelines are introduced.\textsuperscript{19} The cost effectiveness of extended treatment with LMWH has both financial and resource implications\textsuperscript{15} and needs to be considered, especially as these new data appear to show no clinical benefit in over 9000 patients, and an increase in the incidence of complications. Daily injections are painful and inconvenient for patients, costly for staff and resources and are not free of complications.

These problems are less of an issue with the newer oral prophylactic drugs. These require no monitoring, do not cause heparin-induced thrombocytopenia and avoid the discomfort, education, safety and resource implications of parenteral agents. In large, double-blinded, randomised controlled trials, oral direct inhibitors of factor Xa were found to significantly reduce venous thromboembolism compared to LMWH after both THR and TKR, with similar rates of bleeding.\textsuperscript{36-38} However, these trials also declare financial support from the manufacturers of such drugs.

NICE are in the process of finalising revised guidelines that are expected to advise extending pharmacological prophylaxis for 28 to 35 days following all THR, and for ten to 14 days after TKR.\textsuperscript{19} They may recommend the use of oral anticoagulants as an alternative.

Data from hospital episode statistics have been shown to have inaccuracies in the past,\textsuperscript{39} but this current in-patient dataset represents the most accurate yet.\textsuperscript{40} Given the maturity of the system of data collection, increased rates of complications seen over the study period are unlikely to be attributable purely to improvements in coding. As these data are collected routinely at a local level by coding staff and then collated nationally, the investigating team for this study and individual clinicians are unable to bias the results. Therefore it is likely that the increased complication rates seen in this dataset have been correctly identified.

The increase in the prescription of LMWH, albeit significantly higher since the introduction of the guidelines has not been as dramatic as expected, which may be the result of the relatively short period between publication and the start of the second period of data collection. Figure 3 shows that the prescribing curve for LMWH steepens from the second quarter of 2007 compared to the preceding two years. Some Trusts may have anticipated these recommendations and implemented changes early. However, funding issues in other Trusts may have stifled this process. Also, users of LMWH are more likely to extend the duration of therapy since publication of the guidelines. It seems clear that, despite the additional expenditure and use of LMWH, the expected reduction in the rates of complications has not occurred.

Unfortunately, the data collection and coding of patients who are diagnosed with DVT as outpatients are less reliable, and the figures presented here may underestimate the incidence of DVT at 90 days. However, this is consistent across both these study periods and the National joint registry analyses. The joint registry and hospital episode statistics data were not linked in this analysis. We were unable to show the rates of fatal pulmonary embolism in our assessment, but it is generally assumed that the overall rate of pulmonary embolism mirrors the fatalities from it.\textsuperscript{16,29}

The obvious flaws of an observational study are well known. We are unable to provide data on the duration of treatment. However, previous studies have shown that two-thirds of venous thromboembolic events following THR occur prior to discharge. Although we acknowledge that some patients who received LMWH after publication of the guidelines may not have received an extended duration of treatment, a fall in venous thromboembolism should still be anticipated if LMWH has an effect, and of the 60\% of clinicians prescribing LMWH prior to April 2007, many may have changed to the extended duration.

This study describes the impact of the guidelines on orthopaedic practice at a national level, and provides independent data for a large population. Previous power calculations have suggested that a study size of 20000 is required to show a significant change in the rates of clinical DVT and pulmonary embolism.\textsuperscript{41} Because an absolute increase in the prescription of LMWH of 8.1\% was seen in the joint registry, we can assume that 9312 more patients recorded in the hospital episode statistics data were prescribed LMWH in the period after publication of the guidelines (\textit{160 965 TKRs + 53 997 THRs} \times 0.081). These data are therefore of the correct order of magnitude to have sufficient power to demonstrate an effect of LMWH on these clinical endpoints irrespective of the duration of treatment. Also, Prentice\textsuperscript{42} has noted that haemorrhage, both at the surgical site and elsewhere, must be known for a true balance of risk and benefit. We have provided data on return-to-theatre rates for infection and anticoagulant-induced thrombocytopenia, which were previously unavailable on this scale.

The aim of the NICE guidelines was to reduce the quoted figure of 25 000 deaths per year in England as a result of venous thromboembolism acquired in hospital.\textsuperscript{21} Approximately 500 of these deaths (2\%) followed THR or TKR, as recorded as deaths from a pulmonary embolism in the National joint registry.\textsuperscript{4} About one-third of orthopaedic surgeons in England and Wales continue to practise outside the NICE guidelines, with similar figures reported else-
where for other population groups.\textsuperscript{35} It is now over two years since publication of the guidelines, and yet despite national recommendations there has been only a limited increase in the use of LMWH. We have demonstrated that, despite this increase, the incidence of complications of VTE in over 100 000 patients has not decreased, but the incidence and the associated costs of treating thrombocytopenia have increased. Based on these results, the predicted saving of £4 million for the NHS is unlikely to be achieved.
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A further opinion by Professor R. Atkins is available with the electronic version of this article on our website at www.jbjs.org.uk
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