LETTERS

MAIL³⁶⁰

We'd like your views – write to: The Editor, *Bone & Joint* ³⁶⁰, 22 Buckingham Street, London WC2N 6ET or email editor360@boneandjoint.org.uk

Death and hip replacement Dear Sir,

I greatly enjoyed issue 4 of *Bone & Joint*³⁶⁰. I believe the rather alarming article: "Cemented hip replacement might be bad for your health", on page 10^{1/2} has certain methodological issues. Perhaps you could ask a senior researcher to comment on the article? I blogged about some of my own thoughts about the methodology: http://gforge.se/2012/08/ killer-implants/

There have also been some interesting responses to the article: http://www.bmj.com/content/344/bmj.e3319?tab=responses

Max Gordon, MD, Consultant, Division of Orthopaedics, Department of Clinical Sciences at Danderyd Hospital, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden

P.S. Could you consider reporting years instead of months? In the "Single bundle? Double bundle? Which is best?" on page $13^{3.4}$ the mean follow-up was 51.15 months. My tired brain takes some time to recalculate this into 4 ¹/₄ years.

Editor-in-Chief's comment: Thanks so much for these views Dr Gordon. All are duly noted and we rather agree with your opinion about the difficulty of understanding 51.15 months. This was, of course, how the original authors presented their data. For simplicity, our mathematically astute readers will be aware that 51.15 months is actually 4.29166667 years.

REFERENCES

1. No authors listed. Cemented hip replacement might be bad for your health. *Bone Joint 360* 2012;4(1):10-10.

2. McMinn DJ, Snell KI, Daniel J, et al. Mortality and implant revision rates of hip arthroplasty in patients with osteoarthritis: registry based cohort study. *BMJ* 2012;344:e3319-e3319.

- 3. No authors listed. Single bundle? Double bundle? Which is best? Bone Joint 360 2012;4(1):13-13.
- **4. Hussein M, van Eck CF, Cretnik A, Dinevski D, Fu FH.** Prospective randomized clinical evaluation of conventional single-bundle, anatomic single-bundle, and anatomic double-bundle anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: 281 cases with 3- to 5-year follow-up. *Am J Sports Med* 2012;40:512-520.